

### ReviewForm3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IRJPAC_120322  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Impact of Cashew Nut ( <i>Anacardium occidentale</i> ) Tannin Feeding Ratio on the Chemical and Mechanical Characteristics of Leather Tanned from Cowhide, Goatskin and Sheepskin |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

#### PART 1: Review Comments

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | The manuscript is interesting for the scientific community but I found that the lack of illustration on the materials and methods does not allow reproduction of the tests.<br>I like the manuscript because, using cashew residue, it brings progress in the work of artisans and tanning industries  |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)   | The title is complete and reflects the content!  |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.  | Yes, the abstract is understandable.   |  |
| Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?   | I have made comments and questions in the manuscript which need to be reviewed for a good understanding of the methods and materials.  |  |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The work is innovative and provides alternatives to traditional working methods. However, there is a lack of technical details that would facilitate reproduction or dissemination to artisans and industrialists.   |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have a suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  | Yes, the references are recent.  |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments   |  |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | Yes, the English is good.  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   | Review the list of keywords; there are repetitions!!<br><b>Paragraph 2.3.3:</b> Usually drying is at 105°C for 24 hours. Why this temperature and duration?<br><b>Paragraph 2.3.4:</b> We find the same sentence in the procedure for determining the water ash content, only the mass changes????<br>And for the ash content I understand that we go up to 800°C but for the other??? How many dishes are there?<br><b>Paragraph 2.3.5:</b> And where are the calculation formulas?<br><b>Paragraph 2.3.6:</b> How do we obtain them?<br><b>Table 1:</b> The control there is no explanation, where does it come from?<br>For the appendix put phases for each process to facilitate the reader's understanding |  |

**ReviewForm3**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Lat Grand Ndiaye</b>                              |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Assane Seck University of Ziguinchor, Senegal</b> |