

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_121222</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Carbon Stock Estimation of Compensatory Afforestation Plantation Work in Balod District of Chhattisgarh</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article's subject matter is highly engaging, as it addresses the crucial role of forestation and carbon strock in combating the ongoing global environmental crises. but in the results of the article only part 3.2 focuses on carbon stock and parts 3.0 and 3.1 belongs to the section of materials and methods. The article lacks statistical analysis	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is good but results is simple	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	-	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I think it is appropriate for the authors to re-analyze the article and clarify the results with analytical comparative analysis. The article's reliability increases if the standard error of the mean, variance and standard deviation values is shown in the results.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yangiboyev Elmurod</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National University of Uzbekistan, Uzbekistan</b>