

# Carbon Stock Estimation of Compensatory Afforestation Plantation Work in Balod District of Chhattisgarh

## Abstract

*This paper encapsulates the findings of carbon stock assessment of compensatory afforestation work done in Balod District of Chattishgarh. Under the State CAMPA (Compensatory Afforestation Fund Management and Planning Authority) scheme, the afforestation project aims to enhance the local environment, create employment opportunities for the villagers of Armarikala, and provide fodder for nearby farmers' cattle. It was assumed that the compensatory tree plantation work will contribute to an increase in forest cover, fostering ecological sustainability in the region. This initiative not only address the ecological impact of the power transmission line construction but also align with sustainable development goals by providing socio-economic benefits to the local community. The data, including survival rates, volume distribution, and biomass calculations, underscores the ecological richness and varied contributions of each tree species. Volume distribution data reveals Terminalia arjuna dominance in spatial occupancy. The carbon stock data further reinforces the significance of different tree species, with Terminalia arjuna emerging as a major contributor, sequestering 3,814.2 kg of carbon. Terminalia arjuna and Dalbergia sissoo stand out as key contributors to both biomass and carbon stock, showcasing their robustness and significant role in shaping the ecosystem.*

**Key Word: Carbon stock assessment, CAMPA, Plantation, Ecosystem services, Valuation of ecosystem services**

## 1. Introduction

CAMPA is emerging as the largest sustainable source of funding for afforestation activities in the country. There is growing importance of independent evaluations, to assess what is working and what is not, so as to improve the programme in future. The vegetation offers a diverse array of ecological services and benefits to communities, significantly enhancing human health and environmental quality. Furthermore, it mitigates the elevated ambient air temperatures prevalent due to the prevalence of heat-absorbing materials (Raihan, A., 2024). The burgeoning attention directed towards carbon stock estimation stems from a heightened recognition of the gravity of climate change, escalation in global temperatures, and advancements in carbon stock measurement technologies. Despite an increased number of studies on carbon stock estimates, little information is available for tropical and subtropical forests, although they constitute a significant proportion of global forest cover (Siddiq et al., 2021). This emphasis underscores the urgent need for assessment of carbon stock to highlight the importance of sink available and promote afforestation works, besides it will also help to

formulate efficacious policies and programs aimed at mitigating greenhouse gas emissions (IPCC, 2013).

Anthropogenic activities precipitate a rise in atmospheric carbon dioxide levels, with limited information available concerning its sinks. The assessment of carbon biomass within tropical regions has attracted significant attention from REDD+ initiatives, which aim to mitigate emissions from deforestation and forest degradation while augmenting carbon stocks. Carbon stocks in the forests of Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+) participating countries have to be estimated and monitored to determine accurate financial incentives and compensation (Gurung et al., 2015). The Kyoto Conference identified the need to establish an accurate inventory of carbon stocks in forests.

Carbon stock estimation is the process of quantifying the amount of carbon stored in a particular ecosystem or reservoir, playing a crucial role in climate change mitigation and adaptation. The common method involves taking breast height girth, measuring height to a commercial timber point, and estimating volume using the quarter girth at mid-length. The development of new technologies, as showcased in the FAO's "Remote Sensing-based Biomass Estimation: A Practical Guide" (2016), has provided alternative methods for estimating carbon stocks. While the most accurate method involves direct measurement of biomass, it can be time-consuming and expensive. Remote sensing and modelling offer efficient means to estimate carbon stocks over larger areas, facilitating comprehensive assessments of ecosystems. Estimating carbon stocks in different ecosystems, such as forests, wetlands, soils, and agricultural lands, presents unique challenges (Mitsch & Gosselink, 2000; Bordoloi et al., 2022; Brown & Gaston, 2015; Lal, 2015; Paustian et al., 2019). Each ecosystem requires specific considerations, and diverse methods are employed to address these challenges. For instance, Brown and Gaston (2015) delves into techniques for estimating carbon stocks in forests, while Mitsch and Gosselink (2000) provide insights into wetland carbon stock estimation. Lal (2015) discusses soil carbon estimation methods, and Paustian et al. (2019) explore carbon stock estimation in agricultural lands. Direct biomass measurement, as discussed in Luyssaert et al. (2014), offers high accuracy in carbon stock estimation. Additionally, remote sensing continues to be a valuable method, as emphasized in the FAO's guide (2016). Accurate carbon stock data is foundational for environmental policies, emission reduction targets, resource allocation, and monitoring climate change mitigation initiatives. Transparent and reliable estimates will foster international cooperation in addressing climate change challenges, aligning with the goals outlined in the Paris

Agreement (2015). Conversely, underestimation of carbon stocks risks the development of ineffective policies, highlighting the importance of precise estimation (Le Quéré et al., 2018).

Estimates of carbon stock in forest plantations are generally based on allometric equations relating either carbon or biomass to diameter at breast height (DBH). These equations are usually based on measurement of the fresh mass of each tree with sub-samples taken to determine moisture content to convert to dry weight. However, drying time and the number of sub-samples varies between studies. Furthermore, the carbon concentration of different tree parts is rarely measured directly, but generally assumed to be 50% of dry weight.

Under the State CAMPA (Compensatory Afforestation Fund Management and Planning Authority) scheme, the afforestation project aims to enhance the local environment, create employment opportunities for the villagers of Armarikala, and provide fodder for nearby farmers' cattle. The compensatory tree plantation work will contribute to an increase in forest cover, fostering ecological sustainability in the region. This initiative not only addresses the ecological impact of the power transmission line construction but also aligns with sustainable development goals by providing socio-economic benefits to the local community. The careful execution of compensatory afforestation, coupled with protective measures, promises a positive impact on the environment, employment generation, and the overall well-being of the communities involved.

## **2. Methodology**

Accurate estimation of carbon stocks is critical for understanding the role of forests in carbon sequestration and in mitigating climate change. In present research the data collected on tree biomass from sample plots within the afforestation site under CAMPA. Allometric equations, which relate tree dimensions (like diameter and height) to biomass, to estimate above ground biomass (AGB) has been used.

### **2.1 Plantation Information**

In the context of the construction of the Jagdalpur power transmission line, approximately 81.984 hectares of forest land in the Gurur Subordinate Assistant Circle was affected. To compensate for this loss, a compensatory afforestation initiative is set to take place in the revenue land located at the village of Armarikala, within the Gram Panchayat Armarikala. The allocated area spans 30.52 hectares, with a workable afforestation area of 30

hectares. The Compensatory Irrigated Plantation Project undertaken in 2020-21 in the Amerikala village in Khasra no. 580-641 and 642 of Balod Forest Range, Durg Circle, Chhattisgarh, aimed at ecological restoration and sustainable afforestation. Over a sprawling 30 hectares of land, a meticulous planting strategy was implemented, involving the insertion of 30,835 plants. These plants, strategically spaced at intervals of (3x3) meters, included a diverse array of tree species contributing to the region's biodiversity and ecological balance (Fig. 1). Scientifically classified, the plantation featured species such as *Syzygium cumini* (Jamun), *Terminalia arjuna* (Arjun), *Azadirachta indica* (Neem), *Dalbergia sissoo* (Sissoo), *Mitragyna parvifolia* (Mundi), *Adina cordifolia* (Haldu), *Pongamia pinnata* (Pongamia), *Phyllanthus emblica* (Amla), and *Psidium guajava* (Guava).

## 2.2 Plot Design and Sampling Strategy

To avoid bias and ensure proper representation of the entire 30-hectare area, a stratified random sampling approach was implemented. The plantation was divided into 30 equal subplots of .1 hectares each.

## 2.3 Data Collection

Within each sample plot, data was collected for 100 individual trees, encompassing all tree species present and a total of 3000 plants samples are collected. The following measurements were recorded for each tree:

Collar girth: Measured from the base of the plants i.e., 2 inch above the ground.

Height: Measured using ocular technique.  $G^{\wedge} (2)$

## 2.4 Carbon Stock Calculation

In spite of the large number of studies carried out so far, still major knowledge gaps remain in estimating carbon stock of vegetation, commonly accomplished from in situ measured biophysical parameters of individual plants. What parameters are effective at indicating the carbon stock of different types of plants? At present, diameter at breast height (DBH) is commonly used (Beets et al. 2008; Davies et al., 2011; Lindén et al., 2020). The method used is volume estimation by quarter girth by using this the volume of each tree was subsequently calculated using appropriate species-specific wood density to calculate biomass of the plants and to calculate the carbon stock 47% of the total biomass of above ground and 27% of above ground biomass was taken to calculate the below ground carbon stock. The

total carbon stock of the plantation was calculated by summing the carbon stock values of each individual species. The quarter girth formula is commonly used for estimating the volume of standing trees. It is a simple and quick method that involves measuring the tree's circumference at collar girth (G) and then applying a formula to estimate the tree's volume. The formula is as follows:

The collar girth is typically measured a few inches above the ground, often at the root flare or collar of the tree. The formula with collar girth (G) used is;

$$V = \frac{G^2 \cdot H}{4\pi}$$

Where:

V is the volume of the tree,

$\pi$  is a mathematical constant (approximately 3.14159),

G is the collar girth of the tree (circumference divided by  $\pi$ ),

H is the height of the tree.

Four years is a young age for these species. Wood density typically increases with age, so expect values significantly lower than mature trees. Even within the same species, individual trees can have differing wood densities due to genetics and microsite conditions. For finding biomass in the study area, volume of each species multiplied with their wood density and for enumerating carbon stock, 47 % total biomass is calculated which gives carbon sequestered from every species present.

**Total Biomass = Above Ground Biomass + Below Ground Biomass**

## **2.5 Data Analysis**

The collected data was analyzed using appropriate statistical tools to determine various parameters, including; Mean of tree volume and biomass for each species and the entire plantation, Carbon stock per hectare and for the entire plantation, Carbon sequestration potential of the plantation. The employed methodology uses a stratified random sampling which ensures a representative sample of the entire plantation, reducing bias. However, the methodology also has limitations. Limited sampling intensity is one of them as while 30 samples provide good coverage, even higher sampling density could further improve

accuracy. Overall, the methodology used provides a robust and reliable approach for estimating the carbon stock of the mixed plantation.

### 3. Results

The investigation aimed to quantify the carbon sequestration potential of diverse tree species in the given land area. Through comprehensive field surveys, data collection, and analysis, assessment of the distribution of carbon stocks across various components, such as aboveground biomass, belowground biomass has been done. Table 1 represents a comprehensive overview of the tree species number planted and distribution in the surveyed area, showcasing both the variety and abundance of the local flora. The dominant species, constituting a significant portion of the total population, include *Terminalia arjuna* (Arjun) and *Dalbergia sissoo* (Sissoo), accounting for 28% and 26% of the total species, respectively. These two species together make up more than half of the entire tree population. Following closely are *Syzygium cumini* (Jamun) and *Adina cordifolia* (Haldu), each contributing 9% and 6% to the overall species composition. *Azadirachta indica* (Neem) and *Mitragyna parvifolia* (Mundi) also play notable roles, comprising 3% and 18%, respectively, of the total tree population. *Bambusa vulgaris* (Bamboo) 4% and Other Species, which represent various trees not specified in the listed categories, contribute 6%.

Fig. 2 exhibits a significant survival rate of 85% of *Azadirachta indica* (Neem), underscoring its capacity to endure environmental stressors. *Adina cordifolia* (Haldu) and *Mitragyna parvifolia* (Mundi) demonstrate even higher survival rates of 90% and 98%, respectively, indicating their robust resilience within the local ecosystem. *Bambusa vulgaris* (Bamboo) and other uncategorized species show survival rates of 80% and 93%, respectively, reflecting a broad spectrum of species with varying adaptive capabilities. These survival metrics are vital for assessing species performance in the surveyed area, thereby informing conservation and management initiatives.

As evident from Fig. 3 *Dalbergia sissoo* and *Syzygium cumini* showing the maximum average height in plantation area. The species examined include *Terminalia arjuna*, with an average height of 2 meters and a girth of 0.17 meters; *Azadirachta indica*, standing at an average height of 1.39 meters and a girth of 0.114 meters; *Dalbergia sissoo*, reaching an average height of 2.1 meters and a girth of 0.125 meters; *Adina cordifolia*, displaying an average height of 1.66 meters and a girth of 0.126 meters; *Mitragyna parvifolia*, recording an average height of 1.43 meters and a girth of 0.178 meters; and *Syzygium cumini*, presenting

an average height of 2.11 meters and a girth of 0.121 meters. Additionally, a category labelled "Other" was included, indicating an average height of 1.24 meters and a girth of 0.09 meters.

Based on the wood density (Table 2), Table 3 details the volume of different tree species in a mixed plantation across a 30-hectare area. *Terminalia arjuna* stands out with the highest volume of 10.65 m<sup>3</sup>, emphasizing its significant contribution to the overall biomass and spatial occupancy within the plantation. *Dalbergia sissoo* follows closely with a volume of 8.73 m<sup>3</sup>, indicating its substantial presence and potential for biomass accumulation. *Mitragyna parvifolia* and *Syzygium cumini* contribute volumes of 9 m<sup>3</sup> and 5.4 m<sup>3</sup>, respectively, showcasing their considerable presence in the mixed plantation. *Azadirachta indica* and *Adina cordifolia* exhibit volumes of 2.79 m<sup>3</sup> and 3.6 m<sup>3</sup>, respectively, suggesting a comparatively smaller but still noteworthy contribution. *Madhuca longifolia*, with a volume of 0.6 m<sup>3</sup>, represents a smaller spatial footprint. The category labelled "Other" collectively contributes a volume of 3 m<sup>3</sup>, encapsulating various unlisted species. In comparison, the data underscores the varying sizes and contributions of each species, providing valuable insights into the ecological composition and distribution of volume within the mixed plantation. *Terminalia arjuna* and *Dalbergia sissoo* emerge as key contributors, while the "Other" category represents the combined volume of additional species that collectively shape the overall composition of the plantation.

### 3.1 Biomass

In the mixed plantation encompassing 30 hectares, the diverse array of tree species makes distinct contributions to the overall ecosystem, as elucidated by the data (Table 4), *Terminalia arjuna* emerges as a key player, boasting a remarkable above-ground biomass of 6390 kg per 30 hectares, accompanied by a substantial below-ground biomass of 1725.3 kg. This results in a comprehensive total biomass of 8115.3 kg, underscoring its significance in the mixed plantation. *Azadirachta indica* contributes moderately with an above-ground biomass of 1325.25 kg and a below-ground biomass of 357.8175 kg, resulting in a total biomass of 1683.0675 kg per 30 hectares. *Dalbergia sissoo* stands out with significant growth, showcasing an above-ground biomass of 4383.25 kg and a below-ground biomass of 1183.4775 kg. This sums up to a total biomass of 5566.7275 kg, emphasizing its substantial role in shaping the biomass dynamics. *Adina cordifolia*, with an above-ground biomass of 2070 kg and a below-ground biomass of 558.9 kg, contributes to the mixed plantation, resulting in a total biomass of 2628.9 kg per 30 hectares. *Mitragyna parvifolia* significantly

contributes, presenting a substantial above-ground biomass of 4725 kg and a below-ground biomass of 1275.75 kg, totalling 6000.75 kg. *Sygium cumini* showcases noteworthy growth, contributing an above-ground biomass of 3186 kg and a below-ground biomass of 860.22 kg, resulting in a total biomass of 4046.22 kg. *Madhuca longifolia*, with a relatively lower above-ground biomass of 315 kg, adds value with a below-ground biomass of 85.05 kg, totalling 400.05 kg. The "OTHER" category, representing various unlisted species, collectively contributes 1500 kg of above-ground biomass, 405 kg of below-ground biomass, totalling 1905 kg (Share of different species in percentage shown at Fig. 4). Together, these tree species form a diverse and ecologically rich mixed plantation, each playing a unique and vital role in shaping the overall biomass dynamics, carbon sequestration potential, and ecological health of the ecosystem.

### 3.2 Carbon Stock

Table 5 provides a comprehensive overview of carbon stock of different tree species within a mixed plantation covering a 30-hectare area. *Terminalia arjuna* emerges as a major carbon stock, contributing significantly with 3814.2 kg of carbon stock. Following closely, *Dalbergia sissoo* plays a substantial role with 2616.7 kg of carbon stock, showcasing its importance in mitigating carbon emissions. *Mitragyna parvifolia* and *Syzygium cumini* also contribute notably having 2820 kg and 1902 kg of carbon stock, respectively, reflecting their positive impact on carbon sequestration within the ecosystem. *Azadirachta indica* and *Adina cordifolia* contribute 791 kg and 1235.6 kg of carbon, respectively, representing additional contributors to the overall carbon sequestration efforts. *Madhuca longifolia*, with a carbon stock of 188 kg, and the category labelled "OTHER," which collectively sequesters 895 kg of carbon, contribute smaller but still significant amounts to the overall carbon storage in the mixed plantation.

## 4. Discussion

The diversity in species distribution highlights the richness of the local ecosystem, with each species contributing uniquely to its ecological functions. The data indicates a well-balanced and varied tree composition, reflecting a harmonious coexistence among species. This information is essential for comprehending the region's ecological dynamics and supports the development of targeted conservation and management strategies aimed at preserving the health and biodiversity of the local forest. The total count of 30,835 trees offers a robust quantitative basis for future ecological assessments and monitoring efforts.

The provided Graph details the survival rates and the number of species survived for various tree species in the surveyed area, offering valuable insights into the resilience and health of the local tree population. *Terminalia arjuna* (Arjun) and *Dalbergia sissoo* (Sissoo) exhibit remarkable survival rates of 99%, underscoring their robustness and adaptability to the prevailing environmental conditions. *Syzygium cumini* (Jamun) follows closely with a commendable 96% survival rate, contributing significantly to the overall biodiversity.

The notably high survival rates of species such as *Terminalia arjuna* (Arjun) and *Dalbergia sissoo* (Sissoo) highlight their potential for sustained growth, whereas the observed variability among other species emphasizes the necessity for species-specific conservation strategies. *Terminalia arjuna* emerges as a major carbon sequester, contributing significantly with 3814.2 kg of carbon sequestered along with boasting a remarkable above-ground biomass of 6390 kg per 30 hectares. The findings shed light on the ecological significance of mixed species plantations in enhancing carbon stock, providing valuable insights for sustainable land management practices and climate change mitigation strategies. Carbon stock estimation project conducted in the mixed plantation of the State CAMPA project in Chhattisgarh provides valuable insights into the carbon stock potential of diverse tree species. The afforestation initiative, covering 30 hectares in Amerikala village, is a commendable effort towards ecological restoration in response to the environmental impact of the Jagdalpur power transmission line construction. In the meticulous planting strategy of 2020-21, 30,835 plants were strategically placed. This afforestation initiative holds significant environmental importance, as each of these species serves various ecological functions. For instance, Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) is well-known for its medicinal properties, while Arjun (*Terminalia arjuna*) contributes to soil health and water conservation. Additionally, the inclusion of diverse species ensures a resilient and sustainable ecosystem, promoting wildlife habitat and fostering a healthier environment for local communities. The Compensatory Irrigated Plantation Project in Chhattisgarh stands as a commendable effort towards mitigating environmental degradation, enhancing biodiversity, and fostering long-term ecological sustainability in the region. These measurements provide valuable insights into the morphological characteristics of each species, enhancing our understanding of their growth patterns and potential ecological roles. This dataset establishes a baseline for continuous monitoring and adaptive management, enabling stakeholders to make informed decisions to maintain the long-term health and sustainability of the local tree population. The data can be instrumental in informing conservation efforts, urban planning, and sustainable forestry

practices. The data underscores the varying capacities of different tree species to sequester carbon, providing valuable insights into their roles in mitigating climate change and emphasizing the importance of maintaining a diverse and well-managed mixed plantation for enhanced carbon stock.

## 5. Conclusion

The study focused on estimating carbon stocks across various components, providing a comprehensive overview of the carbon stock potential of the mixed plantation. The data, including survival rates, volume distribution, and biomass calculations, underscores the ecological richness and varied contributions of each tree species. *Terminalia arjuna* and *Dalbergia sissoo* stand out as key contributors to both biomass and carbon stock, showcasing their robustness and significant role in shaping the ecosystem. The total biomass of 30,346.3 kg per 30 hectares represents a substantial carbon reservoir. The plantation sequesters 14,262.5 kg of carbon, highlighting its role in mitigating climate change by capturing and storing carbon dioxide. High survival rates for key species indicate their resilience, providing a foundation for sustained growth and long-term carbon stock. Volume distribution data reveals *Terminalia arjuna* dominance in spatial occupancy. The carbon stock data further reinforces the significance of different tree species, with *Terminalia arjuna* emerging as a major contributor, sequestering 3,814.2 kg of carbon. In the context of environmental policies, these findings provide a foundation for decision-making. Accurate carbon stock estimates are crucial for setting emission reduction targets and monitoring climate change mitigation progress. The transparent data generated through this study will contribute to informed policy development and effective implementation of afforestation projects.

## References

- Beets, P.N.; Kimberley, M.O.; Oliver, G.R.; Pearce, S.H.; Graham, J.D.; Brandon, A. Allometric Equations for Estimating Carbon Stocks in Natural Forest in New Zealand. *Forests*. 2012, 3, 818-839. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f3030818>
- Bordoloi, R., Das, B., Tripathi, O. P., Sahoo, U. K., Nath, A. J., Deb, S., Das, D. J., Gupta, A., Devi, N. B., Charturvedi, S. S., Tiwari, B. K., Paul, A., & Tajo, L. (2022). Satellite based integrated approaches to modelling spatial carbon stock and carbon sequestration potential of different land uses of Northeast India. *Environmental and Sustainability Indicators*, 13, 100166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indic.2021.100166>
- Brown, J., & Gaston, G. (2015). Estimating carbon stocks in forests: Pitfalls and opportunities. In *Forest Ecology and Management* (Vol. 84, pp. 99-110). Cambridge University Press.

Davey, S. E. (1942). Measurement of trees. *Nature*, 150(3802), 333–334. <https://doi.org/10.1038/150333a0>

Davies, Z. G., Edmondson, J. L., Heinemeyer, A., Leake, J. R., & Gaston, K. J. (2011). Mapping an urban ecosystem service: quantifying above-ground carbon storage at a city-wide scale. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 48(5), 1125-1134. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2011.02021.x>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2016). Remote sensing for agricultural statistics: A guidebook on practical remote sensing methodologies for estimating agricultural crop area and yield. FAO. <https://openknowledge.fao.org/server/api/core>

Gurung, M. B., Bigsby, H. R., Cullen, R., & Manandhar, U. (2015). Estimation of carbon stock under different management regimes of tropical forest in the Terai Arc Landscape, Nepal. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 356, 144-152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.07.024>

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC, (2013). Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press. <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/>

IPBES (Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services). (2019). Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. Brondizio, E. S., Settele, J., Díaz, S., & Ngo, H. T. (Eds.). Bonn, Germany: IPBES Secretariat. <https://www.ipbes.net/global-assessment>

Karmakar, D., Gupta, S., & Padhy, P. K. (2024). A Critical Review of Different Methods of Estimation of the Above-Ground Biomass and Carbon Stocks in India. *Ecophysiology of Tropical Plants*, 233-252.

Lal, R. (2015). Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* (Vol. 57, pp. 179-186). Soil Science Society of America.

Le Quéré, C., et al. (2018). Global carbon budget 2018. *Earth System Science Data* (Vol. 10, pp. 2141-2194). Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-2141-2018>

Lecoq, S., Nys, C., Walter, C., Forgeard, F., Huet, S., Recena, P., & Follain, S. (2006). Estimation of carbon stocks in a beech forest (Fougères Forest–W. France): extrapolation from the plots to the whole forest. *Annals of Forest Science*, 63(2), 139-148

Lindén, L., Riikonen, A., Setälä, H., & Yli-Pelkonen, V. (2020). Quantifying carbon stocks in urban parks under cold climate conditions. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 49, 126633.

Losi, C. J., Siccama, T. G., Condit, R., & Morales, J. E. (2003). Analysis of alternative methods for estimating carbon stock in young tropical plantations. *Forest ecology and Management*, 184(1-3), 355-368.

Luyssaert, S., et al. (2014). Old-growth forests as global carbon sinks. *Nature* (Vol. 455, pp. 213-215). Nature Publishing Group.

Mitsch, W. J., & Gosselink, J. G. (2000). *Wetlands* (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Paustian, K., Lehmann, J., Ogle, S., Reay, D., Robertson, G. P., & Smith, P. (2019). Climate-smart soils. *Nature* (Vol. 532, pp. 49-57). Nature Publishing Group.

Raihan, A. (2024). A review on the role of green vegetation in improving urban environmental quality. *Eco Cities*, 4(2), Article 2387. <https://doi.org/10.54517/ec.v4i2.2387>

Shinde, R., & Mahajan, D. M. (2021). Carbon sequestration potential of urban green spaces (PMC gardens) in Pune city, India. *Journal of Geography Environment and Earth Science International*, 25(6), 22-38. <https://doi.org/10.9734/JGEESI/2021/v25i630291>

Siddiq, Z., Hayyat, M. U., Khan, A. U., Mahmood, R., Shahzad, L., Ghaffar, R., & Cao, K.-F. (2021). Models to estimate the above and below ground carbon stocks from a subtropical scrub forest of Pakistan. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 27, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2021.e01539>

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1. Information of Species Planted**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Name of species</b>	<b>Number of Plants</b>
1.	<i>Terminalia arjuna</i> (Arjun)	8488
2.	<i>Dalbergia sissoo</i> (Sissoo)	8000
3.	<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (Jamun)	2820
4.	<i>Azadirachta indica</i> (Neem)	835
5.	<i>Adina cordifolia</i> (Haldu)	1900
6.	<i>Mitragyna pervifolia</i> (Mundi)	5500
7.	<i>Bambusa vulgaris</i> (Bamboo)	1292
8.	Other Species	2000
9.	Total	30,835

**Table 2. Showing Species Specific Wood Density**

S. No.	Species	Wood density
1	<i>Terminalia arjuna</i> (Arjuna)	500-700 kg/m <sup>3</sup> (around 60-70% of mature density)
2	<i>Azadirachta indica</i> (Neem)	400-550 kg/m <sup>3</sup> (around 60-70% of mature density)
3	<i>Dalbergia sissoo</i> (Sissoo)	450-600 kg/m <sup>3</sup> (around 60-70% of mature density)
4	<i>Adina cordifolia</i> (Haldu)	500-650 kg/m <sup>3</sup> (around 60-70% of mature density)
5	<i>Mitragyna parvifolia</i> (Mundi)	450-600 kg/m <sup>3</sup> (around 60-70% of mature density)
6	<i>Madhuca longifolia</i>	420-630 kg/m <sup>3</sup> (around 60-70% of mature density)

**Table 3. Volume of Plantation**

Scientific name	Volume/30Ha (m3)
<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>	10.65
<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	2.79
<i>Dalbergia sissoo</i>	8.73
<i>Mitragyna parvifolia</i>	9
<i>Adina cordifolia</i>	3.6
<i>Madhuca longifolia</i>	0.6
<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	5.4
Other	3

**Table 4. Total Biomass**

<b>Name of species</b>	<b>Above ground Biomass / 30 hectare (Kg)</b>	<b>Below ground biomass/30Hacter</b>	<b>Total biomass/30 hacter</b>
<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>	6390	1725.3	8115.3
<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	1325.25	357.8175	1683.0675
<i>Dalbergia sissoo</i>	4383.25	1183.4775	5566.7275
<i>Adina cordifolia</i>	2070	558.9	2628.9
<i>Mitragyna parvifolia</i>	4725	1275.75	6000.75
<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	3186	860.22	4046.22
<i>Madhuca longifolia</i>	315	85.05	400.05
Other	1500	405	195
Total			30346.3











**Table 5: Carbon Stock**

<b>Name of species</b>	<b>Carbon Stock /30Ha (kg)</b>
<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>	3814.2
<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	791
<i>Dalbergia sissoo</i>	2616.7
<i>Adina cordifolia</i>	1235.6
<i>Mitragyna parvifolia</i>	2820
<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	1902
<i>Madhuca longifolia</i>	188
Other	895
Total	14262.5


UNDER PEER REVIEW

Fig. 1. Plantation Map




Plantation Area	
Route	
Species:	
Haldu ( <i>Adina cordifolia</i> )	
Arjun ( <i>Terminalia arjuna</i> )	
Jamun ( <i>Syzygium cumini</i> )	
Bamboo ( <i>Bambusa vulgaris</i> )	
Neem ( <i>Azadirachta indica</i> )	
Mundi ( <i>Mitragyna parvifolia</i> )	
Sissoo ( <i>Dalbergia sissoo</i> )	
Others	

**Fig. 2. Depicting Survival Rate of Plantation in Percentage (%)**




UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Fig. 3. Average Height and Average Girth of Plantation**



**Fig. 4. Share of Different Tree Species in Total Biomass/30 Hectare**



UNDER PEER