

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_120561
Title of the Manuscript:	Cerebrovascular accidents- epidemiological and etiological profile of patients admitted to the Mohamed VI University Hospital of Marrakech: about 300 cases
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article highlights the causes of ischemic stroke and various factors associated with them. This also highlights the treatment that was taken upon by the hospital. And I was amazed to find out that 7.6% of cases had cardiomyopathies. This will help in further understanding the cause and treatment of ischemic stroke worldwide.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is correct	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract is very thorough and precise well written and easy to comprehend. The only thing is that you said the most common predisposing factor was lacunar cause however you do not write about it enough. Other wise it was quiet good.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes, they are appropriate.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe the scientific correctness of this study because you have in detail mentioned all the methods that were used to get the results and they are all correct with my knowledge and the references used correspond with the findings as well. And it is technically sound. And the discrepancy that was in your result with other researches was due to the age of the candidates.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Brilliant effort great work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Fiaz Raza
Department, University & Country	Gondal Medical Complex, Pakistan