

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARRB_120784</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Relationship of Udder, Flank and Leg Hygiene Scores with Elevated Somatic Cell count and Subclinical Mastitis in Dairy cow</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> :		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Review Comments</b></p> <p>The review confirmed that the abstract is well-aligned with the content of the manuscript. Additionally, the significance of the problem was articulated clearly and concisely.</p> <p>The experimental and theoretical work was described in detail, providing a comprehensive understanding of the research conducted.</p> <p>Furthermore, the discussion and conclusions drawn from the study were supported by the results obtained. Lastly, the references, language, and grammar used throughout the manuscript were deemed acceptable.</p> <p>This study meets all necessary criteria and would make a valuable contribution to scientific knowledge if published.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammed Abraham Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopia</b>