

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_121071
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A REAL TIME ULTRASOUND GUIDED SAFE INSERTION OF VERESS NEEDLE FOR INSUFFLATION DURING LAPAROSCOPY IN A MORBIDLY OBESE WOMAN- CASE REPORT.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><i>This manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive case study on the challenges and innovative solutions in performing laparoscopic procedures on morbidly obese patients. It addresses a growing clinical concern with detailed descriptions of techniques like ultrasound-guided Veress needle insertion and the management of subcutaneous emphysema, offering practical recommendations that enhance patient safety. By highlighting these advanced methods and emphasizing the importance of patient-specific adaptations, the manuscript contributes valuable insights and practical knowledge that can improve surgical outcomes and inform future research in gynecological and bariatric surgery.</i></p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><i>Yes, the manuscript is a valuable contribution to the field of gynecological surgery, providing both a detailed case study and practical guidance for managing complex laparoscopic procedures in obese patients.</i></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><i>This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it sheds light on the complexities of performing laparoscopic procedures in morbidly obese patients, a growing demographic. By detailing innovative techniques such as ultrasound-guided Veress needle insertion and addressing complications like subcutaneous emphysema, it provides practical, evidence-based solutions that enhance surgical safety and efficacy. The manuscript's insights and recommendations are valuable for improving clinical practices and guiding future research in gynecological surgery and obesity management.</i></p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article generally follows correct grammatical rules, but ensuring each sentence is clear and well-structured will improve readability. <b>For instance, phrases like "entry point was through the umbilicus which was manually mobilized" could be revised for clarity, such as "The entry point was through the umbilicus, which was manually mobilized."</b></p> <p>Some sentences can be made more concise without losing meaning. <b>For instance, "Two large bore intravenous cannulas of 14G sizes were inserted around the mons pubis releasing the emphysema after about 60 seconds of a hiss sound" could be simplified to "Two 14G intravenous cannulas were inserted around the mons pubis, releasing the subcutaneous emphysema within 60 seconds."</b></p> <p>Overall, with these refinements, the manuscript will be well-suited for scholarly communications, providing clear and precise information to its intended audience.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript presents a compelling and well-documented case study that highlights the unique challenges and solutions associated with laparoscopic procedures in morbidly obese patients. The detailed description of techniques, such as ultrasound-guided Veress needle insertion, and the successful management of complications like subcutaneous emphysema, provide practical insights that can significantly benefit clinical practice. Furthermore, the manuscript's focus on patient safety and its practical recommendations for pre-operative and intra-operative strategies make it a valuable resource for both experienced surgeons and trainees. Overall, it contributes important knowledge to the fields of gynecological surgery and obesity management, and encourages the adoption of innovative methods to improve patient outcomes.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Ranjit Barua</p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p>Centre for Healthcare Science &amp; Technology, IEST, India</p>