

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJSSPN_121335</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Yield and Nutrient Uptake of pearl millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i>) as affected by Integrated Nutrient Management Strategies</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very important for the scientific community, bringing robust information to the topic pearl millet.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is very well explained.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is correct and I consider it scientifically robust, bringing important information for the topic pearl millet.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I suggest reviewing the journal's publication guidelines for the entire manuscript.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Giovanni Guimarães Landa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centro Universitário Vale do Cricaré, Brazil</b>