

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_120349
Title of the Manuscript:	PROBIOTIC ASSESSMENT OF LACTIC ACID BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM PENTACLETHRA MA CROPHYLLA IN OKIGWE, IMO STATE.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-I Like the manuscript because it deals with vital topic as probiotic. -But I think there was this work Need for reference strain side by side with these selected isolates for comparing. -Missing to evaluation important feature for isolates, is cell surface hydrophobicity. -Genetic analysis for isolates for confidence according to NCBI	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-Need mention for method were used. -Sufficient for research outputs.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not Sufficient Discussion: - Analyze the result of the study in greater depth.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Method used for isolate Morphological and biochemical analytic for isolate identification.  no need to repeat results as a table or graph choose assimilated cholesterol, bile assimilation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	Sufficient for research outputs.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fawzia Jassim Shalsh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iraq</b>