

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_120114
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF THE BUDGETARY PROCESS ON THE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF SMES IN DOUALA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, The topics covered are suitable for improving performance Data collected and findings address the true character of a stable SME Period of experience in a firm well captured	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Fairy suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Can be improves for instance - <i>conclusion</i> first then <i>recommendation</i> later	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Fair, Study problem. Objectives and other key sub heading can be captured though notable in the article	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, Measures used to analyse the findings clearly show the a true scientific pattern of events, inferential statistics has been used well and link empirical literature with field study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References are very recent and fairy sufficient Formatting can be improved as highlighted	

Review Form 1.8

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-- grammar can be improved as highlighted -conclusions and recommendations can summarized and improved	
Optional/General comments	Gaps in the literature can be improved to shed light as to what the researcher is looking for.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Mwangi Macharia
Department, University & Country	Mount Kenya University, Kenya