

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_118998
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF TRADITIONAL INSTRUCTION &amp; VIRTUAL REALITY SIMULATION ON INTRAVENOUS CANNULATION TRAINING AMONG NURSING STUDENTS IN NAGPUR</b>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Recent idea, very important for scientific community, and clinical nursing practice	
2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable and explains the scope of the study	
3.		
4. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	Comprehensive, but need add conclusion and recommendation	
5.		
6. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Appropriate but need write comment for figure and table 4 and 5	
7.		
8. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>	Correct	
9.		
10. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	Relative but old, References need to be up to date References not more than ten years ago	

## Review Form 1.7

(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need editing	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Publish it after modifications.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Hanaa Ismail Sabra Saleh
Department, University & Country	South Valley University, Egypt