

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_118998
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF TRADITIONAL INSTRUCTION & VIRTUAL REALITY SIMULATION ON INTRAVENOUS CANNULATION TRAINING AMONG NURSING STUDENTS IN NAGPUR
Type of the Article	Original reSearch

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Thanks for selecting such an important research topic but consider the following:</p> <p>Abstract: The study was conducted is "A Comparative Study of Traditional Instruction & Virtual Reality Simulation on Intravenous Cannulation Training among Nursing Students in Nagpur. No need to duplicate the title of the study, the objectives are enough. The Objective of study are were. Revise all abstract to be in the past. The Objective of study are 1. To assess the knowledge and practice of the student nurses on Intravenous cannulation by Traditional Training Instruction &... what do you mean by amp???? Non experimental Comparative Research Design is used in this study... delete is used in this study</p> <p>Minimum knowledge and practice score in traditional technique was 14 and in IV cannula technique it was 14 and maximum knowledge and practice score in traditional technique was 11 and in IV cannula technique it was 22. Explain the specified result</p> <p>Key Notes: add nurse students Language and grammar editing is needed (very important)</p> <p>Setting of study: - Nursing Students in Nagpur city specify the rationale for selecting this setting in relation to IV cannulation or other causes?</p> <p>Accessible population: - 2nd year Nursing Students. Specify why? Data quality control techniques must be added Results comments are fragmented. Just duplication of numbers in tables? Where is the discussion of research results based on researchers; rationales and supporting and contradicting studies? Almost all reference are out dated Only 7 ref was used to write all research???</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amal Diab Ghanem Atalla
Department, University & Country	Alexandria University, Egypt