

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_120969
Title of the Manuscript:	Diabetes as risk factor for sick people hospitalized for pneumonia during the Covid-19 period in a hospital in Abidjan, Ivory Coast
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of importance to the scientific community as it presents the findings that add to the demographics required for literature. Overall, this work contributes to the credibility and expansion of knowledge within its field, making it a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners alike.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No addition required.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Table 1 needs correction. Conclusion is unstructured and unclear.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its meticulous methodology and comprehensive data analysis. Detailed statistical analyses have been performed to support the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Referencing needs to be uniformed as per one format only.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It can be improved.	
Optional/General comments	At few areas some spelling mistakes needs to be corrected. For ex. Spelling of diabetes is written as "diabete" at few places. Font size should be same for the text. However it is arial for main text and calibri for references Line spacing is also not uniformed..	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Richa Mahajan
Department, University & Country	GD Goenka University, India