

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_120969
Title of the Manuscript:	Diabetes as risk factor for sick people hospitalized for pneumonia during the Covid-19 period in a hospital in Abidjan, Ivory Coast
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes important for the scientific community and I like this paper because this paper discusses the association between DM and patients hospitalized for viral pneumonia infection with higher percentage for patients infected by Sars cov-2 virus.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes , suitable but need to add the time for article done.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes , comprehensive but need to add RECOMMENDATION.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes , suitable.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes , suitable but need to change the METHODOLOGY to Materials and Methods and add more details about Study Design, Study Area, Study duration, Study population, Eligibility criteria, Sampling and sampling Techniques.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes, sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Very nice research, neat work, and the topic is very purposeful and interesting topic.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibrahim Kamil Luttfi
Department, University & Country	Gezira University, Sudan