

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_120386</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Two Regimens of Rectal Diclofenac on Post Adenotonsillectomy Pain In Children.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript was considered to be well written, but the manuscript should be more concised as there are various points which should be cut off from the manuscript</p> <p>Grammatical corrections needed</p> <p>Word count should be reduced</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>Kindly mention background of the study before objective</b>  <b>Mention inclusion and exclusion criteria and don't repeat the same thing in abstract</b>  <b>Keywords are missing</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>No</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><b>Statistical analysis should be reduced to minimum. Only add the valid points to be included</b>  <b>Only 2-3 tables are sufficient</b>  <b>Author should relate other studies along with the present study in discussion rather adding review of literature.</b>  <b>Ethical clearance number and date to be mentioned</b></p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>            :</p>	<p><b>References should be minimum 15</b>  <b>Atleast 50% of the references should be recent(from 2019-till date)</b>  <b>References are not in vancouver style, kindly check it</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Need to be modified</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>Can be considered after corrections</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Birsubhra Roy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Atal Vihari Bajpaye Medical University, India</b>