

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJHR_120920</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Turmeric Cultivation and Medicinal Benefits: Special Reference to Kandhamal District of Odisha</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The paper presents a very topical topic.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The article fits in the profile of the journal</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The chosen theme is very topical</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The paper is <b>well written</b> from a science point of view</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p> <p>=</p>		
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>NO REVISION</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>NO COMMENTS</b></p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ilea Mihail-Ovidiu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>'Gr.T.Popa' University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania</b>