

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_120700</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Music Therapy 101 for Alzheimer's Disease: A Review of Current Trends and Future Directions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Minireview Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is a review of the music therapy in Alzheimer's Disease and its significance is highly due to the benefits of this kind of therapy. New interventions should be used in AD as part of an interventional plan. People with AD are in need of this intervention.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the title is short and to the point. Maybe a question as a title will add interest, but as a scientific paper I think the title is suitable.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive. By adding a question the interest for this manuscript and the described intervention will be increased. For example, "How music therapy could be added in a therapeutic plan? Which are the benefits for AD population?"</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>As a review, the subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Maybe, more references and information for the 6<sup>th</sup> section will give validation to the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript describes an alternative intervention for a large amount of people, since the number of AD patients tend to increase rapidly. The described intervention is a stimulus for the trainers of adults with AD, their caregivers and health-professionals who need to be informed about the benefits of this intervention. More practical examples or how this intervention could be added to the people with AD daily schedule should be referred.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> =</p>	<p>The used references are sufficient and recent. No suggestion for a specific reference but for more given examples.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. The quality of language is suitable. No changes are suggested based on the language.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>Interesting manuscript with various theoretical information about music therapy and people with AD.</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alopoudi Aristi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece</b>