

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_120189
Title of the Manuscript:	Empirical Study on the Impact of Monetary Policy on the Adjustment of Corporate Capital Structure under the Environment of Financial Development
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	NOT SURE. Because I am not sure why the authors applied those models based on the manuscript, for example, "P14) Specify why the author employed least square method here which is different from the one above.", P14) "Did the author explain why they include one period lagged? Did the author describe how you controlled the endogeneity here?"	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes or no. I recommend the author add the session of the empirical model, which explains why GMM or the least square method is employed.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	NOT SURE. Because I am not sure why the authors applied those models based on the manuscript, for example, "P14) Specify why the author employed least square method here which is different from the one above.", P14) "Did the author explain why they include one period lagged? Did the author describe how you controlled the endogeneity here?"	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	I wonder why the authors take reference 23 and 24.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NO. The content being conveyed needs to be clarified due to the use of inaccurate English sentences. I strongly recommend getting a proof reading from a native writer.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I do not understand why the authors are talking about "big data." The empirical findings of the paper should provide research implications.	

Review Form 1.8

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samuel Jung
Department, University & Country	State University of New York, USA