

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJSSSE_119791</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Role of Male Migration in the Capability Expansion of the Left Behind Muslim Women in the Malappuram District of Kerala</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the socio-economic impacts of male migration on left-behind Muslim women in Malappuram district, Kerala. It provides insights into how remittances influence women's capabilities and autonomy, using the Capability approach to create a Women's Capability Index. This contributes to the broader understanding of migration's impact on gender roles and women's empowerment in a specific socio-cultural context.</li> <li>2. The current title, "Role of Male Migration in the Capability Expansion of the Left Behind Muslim Women in the Malappuram District of Kerala," is somewhat descriptive but lengthy. A more concise and focused title could be: "Impact of Male Migration on the Empowerment of Left-Behind Muslim Women in Malappuram, Kerala."</li> <li>3. The abstract provides a good overview of the study's aim, context, methodology, and key findings. However, it could be more concise and highlight the main results and their implications more clearly.</li> <li>4. The manuscript is generally well-structured, with clear sections on the introduction, theoretical framework, methodology, results, and discussion. However, some sections, such as the methodology, could be more detailed in describing the sampling methods and data analysis techniques.</li> <li>5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, with a sound theoretical basis and appropriate use of statistical methods like Ordinal Logistic Regression. However, the manuscript would benefit from a more detailed explanation of the data collection process and potential biases.</li> <li>6. The references are generally sufficient and include recent studies relevant to the topic. However, there could be more references to recent empirical studies on the impact of migration on women's empowerment.</li> </ol> <p><b>Additional comments:</b></p> <p><b>Introduction and Literature Review:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The introduction could benefit from a more in-depth discussion of the existing literature on migration and gender roles. Highlighting gaps in the literature that this study addresses would strengthen the research rationale.</li> </ul> <p><b>Theoretical Framework:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Capability approach is mentioned but needs deeper exploration. Providing detailed examples of how capabilities are measured would be helpful.</li> </ul> <p><b>Methodology:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The methodology section should include more detailed information about the sample selection process, including criteria for selecting respondents, sample size, and potential biases.</li> <li>• A thorough explanation of the statistical methods used, including any software or tools for data analysis, would enhance credibility.</li> </ul> <p><b>Data Presentation:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tables and figures should be clearly labeled and referenced in the text. Consider adding visual aids like charts or graphs to summarize key findings.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The discussion could benefit from comparing the study's findings with those of other studies to contextualize the results and highlight unique contributions.</li> <li>Addressing potential study limitations in more detail and suggesting areas for future research would add depth to the discussion.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript employs academic vocabulary and demonstrates a clear structure. However, there are areas where the clarity and conciseness of the language can be improved to enhance readability and comprehension.</p> <p><b>Mistakes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Redundancy:</b> Some sentences are overly verbose and contain redundant information. Simplifying these sentences can make the text more concise and easier to read.</li> <li><b>Complex Sentences:</b> The use of complex sentence structures sometimes hinders readability. Breaking down long sentences into shorter, more straightforward ones can improve clarity.</li> <li><b>Grammar and Punctuation:</b> There are occasional grammatical errors and issues with punctuation. A thorough proofread or using a grammar-checking tool can help eliminate these errors.</li> <li><b>Technical Jargon:</b> While technical terms are necessary, overuse without adequate explanation can make the manuscript less accessible to a broader audience. Providing definitions or explanations for less common terms can aid in understanding.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abdullah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Khyber Medical College, Pakistan</b>