

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_120527
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial Materials in Medical Textiles: Enhancing Infection Control in Healthcare Settings
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	HAIs pose a significant threat to healthcare delivery. As Medical care becomes more complex and the prevalence of antibiotic resistance increases, the prevalence of HAIs may tend to increase. It is therefore crucial that different strategies be explored to combat the incidence of HAIs such as use of Antimicrobial materials in medical textiles .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript is suitable. However, they authors may wish to show that it's a literature review e.g. "Antimicrobial Materials in Medical Textiles: Enhancing Infection Control in Healthcare Settings: a review of literature" Note, this is just an example.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract comprehensive but should clearly state the method.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of manuscript are appropriate but method of information gathering was is not clearly stated.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Authors did an indepth review of literature on the subject matter evidence by numerous citations. Language use is scientific, meticulous arrangement of subheadings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	While references are more than sufficient, majority are too old. References not later than 10 are more acceptable. The Authors may wish to restrict review to references not older than 10yr if possible.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abatur Suleiyol Charity Uadoo
Department, University & Country	Federal Medical Center, Nigeria