

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_120288
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Physico-Chemical and Sensory Attributes in Plant-Based Meat Analogue Patties and Chicken Patties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset using cutting-edge methodology. The paper is generally well written and structured. However, in my opinion the paper has some shortcomings in regards to some data analyses and text, and I feel this unique dataset has not been utilized to its full extent</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is suitable and explores the manuscript</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Conclusions: The authors should write this section in the abstract in a way that expresses clearly in brief the importance of the result of the study. I urge the authors to add a concise sentence in this section</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The introduction does not fully show the background (include characteristics, current situation of development)</p> <p>Why was the cholesterol level estimated even though the product is vegetarian?</p> <p>The manuscript will be more valuable if determines the amino acid content</p> <p>The discussion needs further improvements as well. Especially compare the results in this study with the most recent related literature and do a critical evaluation of the trends/similarities/differences</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>the manuscript needs most recent related literature and a critical evaluation of the trends/similarities/differences</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rasha Kamal Mohamed
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt