

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_120878
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS IN PRODUCTION OF MAIZE IN TELANGANA STATE
Type of the Article	Original research

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 1.6

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The topic of the research is contemporary and worth to be researched with positive economic and financial implications</p> <p>The paper overall is clear, however needs to be validated more with relevant citations. Moreover, the unethical problem of inflation of references must be solved by adding the corresponding citations to the text.</p> <p>The text is simple, easy to follow, and streamlined.</p> <p>The trail of thoughts is streamlined, however another Table must be added to support the concepts [See suggestions in attached Manuscript].</p> <p>It needs proofreading and editing [See attached Reviewed, marked-up, and commented Manuscript]</p> <p>The abstract is adequate. It includes the different necessary parts to make it representative of the paper's content.</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction is fair and needs to be supported with enough relevant and updated concepts and information. Hence, as is, is not enough to serve the paper's objectives.</p> <p>The methodology is clear. However, more is needed in data analysis. Important to add more references. [See suggestions].</p> <p>The results are clear, and well-presented, in a Table. However, another one is necessary as suggested. These support the discussion of the findings.</p> <p>The discussion is somehow adequate, however, needs good proofreading and editing [see all suggested marked changes] You need to use several validation works (more references)...</p> <p>The conclusion is adequate</p> <p>It is necessary to elaborate on the Limitations of the study and add future research</p> <p>Are there any limitations to the research???</p> <p>Future work???? Review carefully THE WRITE-UP OF THE REFERENCES FOR CONSISTENCY AND COMPLETENESS, and match the Jornal's requirements.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon