

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_119812
Title of the Manuscript:	Demonstration on New Rice Variety Co 52 to Improve the Productivity in Cuddalore District of Tamil Nadu.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. It describes Yield tests of a blast resistant variety of rice in one of the important rice production zones of India. It is sound and robust, therefore I recommend it for publication.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It is correct. Special care has to be given to the use of measures and the use of International notation.</p> <p>Yes. But the article could benefit, broadening the references others than purely local publications. It is not mandatory, though.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I did suggest a few minor corrections, (attached) in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Material and methods could benefit by a better description of the way tillers were counted...</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carlos Ricardo Trein
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Pelotas, Brazil