

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_120876
Title of the Manuscript:	Pearl millet processing methods and products: An in-depth analysis
Type of the Article	Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This review examines the processing methods and products derived from pearl millet, encompassing both traditional and modern techniques. The paper explores the nutritional and health impacts of processed pearl millet products, addresses the challenges in processing, and discusses future trends and research directions. Traditional methods such as fermentation and milling are compared with modern technologies like extrusion cooking and high-pressure processing. The review highlights the potential for new product development, including gluten-free and functional foods.</p> <p>I like this manuscript because it contributes to the development of knowledge about gluten-free and functional foods Yes, manuscripts are significant to the scientific community</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The article's title is good (9 words)</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract is good (138 words). Maximum number of abstract words is 250 words, concise abstract contains research objectives, methodology, research results, conclusions and implications of research results. It is recommended that the abstract be supplemented with the implications of the research results. After the abstract, it is recommended to add keywords consisting of 3-5 words that are most important in the research.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The article's structure is reasonable</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The scientific validity of this text and its scientific validity and technical soundness are based on the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This review examines the processing methods and products derived from pearl millet, encompassing both traditional and modern techniques. 2. The paper explores the nutritional and health impacts of processed pearl millet products, addresses the challenges in processing, and discusses future trends and research directions. 3. Traditional methods such as fermentation and milling are compared with modern technologies such as extrusion cooking and high-pressure processing. 4. The review highlights the potential for new product development, including gluten-free and functional foods. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>References are good. Sufficient and up-to-date references and recommended that reference citations use Mendeley IEEE or APA and a maximum of 20 years of reference.</p> <p>It is suggested to add references, as an example:</p> <p>Dhankar, N., Routroy, S., & Sharma, S. K. (2024). Analyzing predictors of pearl millet supply chain using an artificial neural network. <i>Journal of Modelling in Management</i>, 19(4), 1291-1315.</p> <p>Tagade, A., Kandpal, S., & Sawarkar, A. N. (2024). Insights into pyrolysis of pearl millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i>) straw through thermogravimetric analysis: physico-chemical characterization, kinetics, and reaction mechanism. <i>Bioresource Technology</i>, 391,</p>	

Review Form 3

	129930.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the article's English is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended to use grammarly.com	
Optional/General comments	The paper is Accepted but needs minor Revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Noerhartati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia