

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_120525
Title of the Manuscript:	Natural Fibres Innovations in Medical Textiles
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses the promising and emerging theme related to natural fibres potentials for medical applications. The manuscript is supported by big number of recent references covering natural fibres applications in general and specifically Banyan, Kenaf, and Sisal Fibres potentials for medical applications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable in general, but it seems covering wide range of natural fibres. An alternative title may be "Potential innovations of three natural fibres in medical applications".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript comprises 4 subsections, namely Introduction, Challenges and Future Perspectives in the Integration of Fibres into Medical Textiles, Summery and Conclusion. The subsections Introduction comprising 3 tables may be subdivided into additional 3 subsections. One subsection around each table.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust because each fibre characteristic in the 3 tables is supported by one recent reference. The authors opted for a 3 tables style, which reflects some kind of over- summarization and led to around 4 pages out of 12 for references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	the references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments	English language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Unfortunately, all fibre characteristics in the 3 tables lack quantitative data.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jabrah Rafi
Department, University & Country	Higher Institute for Applied Science and Technology, Syria