

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJASS_116230</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Legal Implications Of Local Government Authority In Granting Permits For The Use Of Land And Coastal Waters Intended As Tourism Activities</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Somewhat. The paper's potential importance lies in bridging legal analysis with real-world consequences for coastal communities and tourism in Indonesia. To maximize its value, focus on originality, deep analysis, and practical recommendations.</p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p>Include a sentence summarizing your key conclusion, such as: "The research found that the inconsistencies in the Job Creation Law could lead to legal uncertainty and challenges in effectively managing coastal resources.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>Somewhat</p> <p>YES</p> <p>The manuscript has good foundational elements, but it requires significant restructuring and a deeper analysis to be a well-organized and impactful legal research paper. Specific Issues: Repetitive and Unclear Introduction: The first few paragraphs are highly repetitive and don't define a clear research question or problem. Disjointed Literature Review: The section seems more like a collection of definitions than a cohesive review of relevant literature. It's unclear how existing legal frameworks and scholarly work directly relate to your research question. Limited Scope in Methodology: The methodology states "normative legal research" but doesn't specify which laws, beyond the Job Creation Law, are the main focus. Analysis Needs Strengthening: The analysis section identifies some inconsistencies but lacks depth. It doesn't fully explore the potential consequences of these inconsistencies for coastal management, tourism stakeholders, and environmental protection. Weak Conclusion: The conclusion reiterates issues already mentioned rather than summarizing key findings. It needs strong recommendations to address the legal conflicts identified.</p> <p>Recommendations for Restructuring: 1. Intriguing Introduction: Start with a concrete example illustrating a problem caused by the shift in authority or a potential scenario resulting from legal inconsistencies. This will immediately engage the reader. Clearly state the research question: e.g., "How has the Job Creation Law (Law Number 6 of 2023) disrupted the existing legal framework governing coastal land use permits, and what are the implications for sustainable tourism and coastal communities in Indonesia?" Finish with a strong thesis statement. 2. Focused Literature Review: Briefly discuss the essential existing laws (Law No. 27 of 2007, etc.) and relevant legal concepts</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>(decentralization, coastal management). Tie these back to identified inconsistencies created by the Job Creation Law.</p> <p>3. Clearer Methodology: Clearly state ALL the laws and regulations being analyzed in detail. Explain more about the normative approach: Are you also analyzing court decisions, or scholarly interpretations of these laws?</p> <p>4. Robust Analysis: Go beyond listing inconsistencies. Analyze each point in-depth, providing legal citations: How exactly does the Job Creation Law contradict prior laws? What rights or powers are removed from local communities/government? Could there be cases where both laws are in conflict or open to different interpretations? What are the environmental and tourism-related risks due to these contradictions?</p> <p>5. Impactful Conclusion: Summarise KEY findings, highlighting the most significant legal contradictions and the risks they pose. Provide clear recommendations: Should specific aspects of the Job Creation Law be revised? Should the implementation be guided by supplementary regulations to harmonize it with other coastal management laws?</p> <p>Additional Tips: Throughout the paper, use plain language where possible to explain legal concepts for a wider audience. Subheadings within sections will improve organization, allowing the reader to follow your logic easily. Overall, the manuscript has potential! Focusing on a clear thesis, deeper analysis of legal inconsistencies, and strong recommendations will significantly improve the strength of this research paper.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Somewhat</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Kuldeep Verma</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Centre for Mountain Tourism and Hospitality Studies, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, India</b></p>