

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_120928
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE COMBINATION EFFECTS OF EXTRACTS OF JATROPHA TANJORENSIS AND ADANSONIA DIGITATA ON CLINICAL FUNGAL ISOLATES.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A well-written manuscript. But few scientific data like phytochemical data should be mentioned properly in table format. As per the evaluation, only one test is performed which is the Agar diffusion Method, more tests at least 2-3 test should have been performed as the title of the manuscript suggests the term " evaluation'.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is well written	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	MFC table is missing, only the value is mentioned in the manuscript More number of fungal strained should have been included	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	More recent papers should be included	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Well written paper but could be better with more data and findings.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushilta Pradhan
Department, University & Country	University of North Bengal, India