

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_120928
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE COMBINATION EFFECTS OF EXTRACTS OF JATROPHA TANJORENSIS AND ADANSONIA DIGITATA ON CLINICAL FUNGAL ISOLATES.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article is important for the scientific community and for humanity as a whole. The antifungals on the market have serious side effects and are not accessible to all social classes. Finding plants like <i>Jatropha tanjorensis</i> and <i>Adansonia digitata</i> that have fungicidal effects will be very beneficial, especially as the plants studied by the author have a wide geographical distribution. It would be preferable to think about making an antifungal product from the combination of these two plants, as the study showed that their combined extracts have a very good fungus-inhibiting effect.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is suitable and comprehensive.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive. It includes all necessary parts like introduction, aim, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results and conclusion.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The structure and subsections of the manuscript are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article is scientifically right and will be useful for mankind.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>The references are sufficient but most are old. So, we suggest the following references:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ SORO Kouo-N'Golo, KRA Kouamé Daniel, TUO Seydou, DIALLO Atta Hortens. Évaluation de l'efficacité antifongique de quatre extraits de plantes sur les pathogènes des grains de l'épi du maïs en stock (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) Journal of animal & Plant Science. 2024 59(2): 10856-10872. https://doi.org/10.35759/JAnmPISci.v59-2.2 ➤ Abdel-Nasser A, Badr AN, Fathy HM, Ghareeb MA, Barakat OS, Hathout AS. Antifungal, antiaflatoxic, and cytotoxic properties of bioactive secondary metabolites derived from <i>Bacillus</i> species. Sci Rep. 2024 Jul 18;14(1):16590. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-66700-y. PMID: 39025896; PMCID: PMC11258281. ➤ Arendrup MC, Guinea J, Meletiadis J. Twenty Years in EUCAST Anti-Fungal Susceptibility Testing: Progress & Remaining Challenges. Mycopathologia. 2024 Jul 11;189(4):64. doi: 10.1007/s11046-024-00861-2. PMID: 38990395. ➤ Sim Y, Shin S. Effets anti-Trichophyton combinatoires des composants de l'huile essentielle de <i>Ligusticum chuanxiong</i> avec des antibiotiques. Arch Pharm Res. 2008 avril ;31(4) :497-502. doi : 10.1007/s12272-001-1184-7. Publication en ligne le 1er mai 2008. PMID: 18449508. 	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is appropriate and well formulated. But short sentences might be very comprehensible for discussion.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kporvie Atsu Kodjo George
Department, University & Country	University of Lomé, Togo