

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_120240
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship of Tofu Coagulants and Irritant Contact Dermatitis in Tofu Maker at Serang Banten Indonesia
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a simple, however elegant paper describing a well-designed, well-executed and well-described study describing an observational analytic approach utilizing a questionnaire to analyze the prevalence of Irritant Contact Dermatitis among tofu makers in Serang. The condition was related with atopic conditions and the use of Personal Protective Equipment, and personal hygiene. The authors found a correlation between the coagulants used in tofu production and Irritant Contact Dermatitis in tofu manufacturers. Its importance dwells in the awareness of the condition.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is robust and apparently scientifically correct. The introduction points the question, the design was appropriate to approach the condition. The statistical treatment was pertinent.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are recent and sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable. Just correct the spelling of "Commission" instead of "Commision".	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Celso Eduardo Olivier
Department, University & Country	Instituto Alergoimuno de Americana, Brazil