

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCRMH_120252</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Cerebral venous thrombosis revealing a primary Sjögren's Sd not so rare after all : about one case</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Cerebral venous thrombosis can get fatal if not treated early.</li> <li>- This manuscript is well written.</li> <li>- All investigations are done diligently.</li> <li>- Syntax could be more proper.</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A case study showing Cerebral venous thrombosis in Sjögren's syndrome is not so unusual.</li> </ul>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes its fine.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes its fine.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Thorough history taking was done.</li> <li>- All differential diagnosis is considered.</li> <li>- All investigations are done diligently.</li> <li>- Discussions revealed proper research was done.</li> <li>-</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	There is only 6, There could be few more.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I have marked few changes in the article under comment box. Please check.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Minor conscious adjustments by the authors can make this article more presentable. Like adding a table for the related studies mentioned in the discussions. Or adding timeline of the treatment and investigation procedures.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kumar Sagar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dy Patil School of Dentistry, India</b>