

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCRMH_120247</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Giant Cell Arteritis or Formerly Known as Horton's Disease- When the Puzzle is Complete: A Case Report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community due to its detailed case report. By documenting specific patient experiences, symptoms, and treatment outcomes, it contributes valuable insights into the clinical manifestations and management strategies of this complex condition. Such detailed reports are crucial for expanding medical knowledge, refining diagnostic criteria, and improving therapeutic approaches, ultimately enhancing patient care and outcomes in the field of rheumatology and vascular medicine.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, good title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to several key factors. Firstly, it adheres to rigorous scientific methods in documenting a specific case of Giant Cell Arteritis, ensuring accuracy and reliability of the reported data. Secondly, it includes thorough discussion and references, placing the case within the broader context of existing research and clinical understanding. Thirdly, the manuscript details diagnostic procedures, treatment protocols, and patient outcomes in a clear and structured manner, providing valuable insights for clinicians and researchers alike. Overall, its adherence to scientific standards and comprehensive approach make it a credible contribution to the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are sufficient however many of them are very old. So instead of them, addition of few newer references would be worthwhile.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is good.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The case report is really good.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aniket Madhukar Zope</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Symbiosis Medical College for Women &amp; Symbiosis University Hospital and Research Centre, Symbiosis International Deemed University, India</b>