

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_120722
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio Economic Status of the beneficiaries of Agricultural Technology Management Agency in central part of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study states the role of ATMA society in the overall Agricultural Technology Management in Chhatarpur district of Madhya Pradesh state. Though there are some key scenario of the beneficiaries, but there are some points which should be properly addressed before publication. To reveal the key message some revisions are necessary.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Mostly	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, The abstract should be much improves as commented/suggested in the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Mostly. The Introduction should be more informative and source specific (i.e. needs more literature of review). The Results part is fine, but the discussion part should have major improvement. The authors need to check more review of literatures for improving the Introduction and Discussion part. For instance, Similar study was conducted by Chowhan, S. 2021. Impact of Agro Technology on Socio-economic Condition of the Farming Groups at Jagannathpur. International Journal of Agriculture Environment and Biotechnology, 14(1): 97-109. http://dx.doi.org/10.30954/0974-1712.01.2021.11 It is recommended to read and cite some important points from the results of this paper.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this study researchers used Ex-post-facto research design. Ex post facto research is a design that begins after an event has occurred and without the interference of the investigator. The major of social research in which is not acceptable or to manipulate human participants' characteristics is based on ex post facto research designs. The data analysis seems to be ok also unless it is stated in the methodology part.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Number of References is not sufficient for the executed study. Hence the authors should search for more review of literatures stating similar study and should enrich the Introduction and Discussion part.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Mostly	
Optional/General comments	I made some minor revision in the original submitted manuscript. Contrary, please revise the article as commented/suggested. I wish the authors Good luck!	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushan Chowhan
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Bangladesh