

# Influence of Auxin on flowering, fruit set and yield performance of Yard Long Bean (*Vigna unguiculata* var. *sesquipedalis*)

## ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted at the Horticultural Farm, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh, to study the effects of different levels of nutrient management and the growth regulator Auxin on the growth and yield of yard-long bean. The experiment consisted of two factors. Factor A: four levels of nutrient management; i) N<sub>0</sub>: control (N<sub>0</sub>, P<sub>0</sub>, K<sub>0</sub>) Kg/ha; ii) N<sub>1</sub>: (N<sub>12</sub> P<sub>18</sub> K<sub>22</sub>) Kg/ha; iii) N<sub>2</sub>: (N<sub>18</sub> P<sub>27</sub> K<sub>33</sub>) Kg/ha; iv) N<sub>3</sub>: (N<sub>24</sub> P<sub>36</sub> K<sub>44</sub>) Kg/ha. Factor B: three levels of auxin (indole acetic acid); i) I<sub>0</sub>: control (0 ppm); ii) I<sub>1</sub>: 20 ppm; iii) I<sub>2</sub>: 40 ppm. The variety of yard-long beans was BARI borboti-1. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. The results revealed that nutrient management and auxin significantly affected the plant height, number of leaves, number of branches, number of flowers, number of pods per plant, and pod yield per hectare. In the case of nutrient management, the highest yield (14.5 t/ha) was observed in the N<sub>2</sub> treatment, and the lowest yield (9.61 t/ha) was observed in the N<sub>0</sub> treatment. For auxin, the highest yield (12.57 t/ha) was observed from the I<sub>2</sub> treatment, and the lowest yield (9.83 t/ha) was observed from the control treatment I<sub>0</sub>. For the combined effect, the N<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub> treatment combination gave the highest yield (16.64 t/ha), and the lowest yield (6.37 t/ha) was obtained from the N<sub>0</sub>I<sub>0</sub> combination treatment. So, from the result, it may be concluded that applying 18 kg N/ha, 27 kg P/ha, and 33 kg K/ha with 40 ppm auxin is the best for growth and a higher yield of Yard Long Bean.

---

Keyword: Auxin, Flowering, Yield, Bean

## Introduction

Yard long bean, scientifically known as *Vigna unguiculata* sub sp. *sesquipedalis* L., originates from East and Southeast Asia. With a chromosomal number of  $2n = 22$ , this important legume vegetable crop is also known by a variety of other names, including borboti, asparagus bean, Chinese long bean, pea bean, string bean and snake bean (Bhagavati et al. 2019) It's a quick-growing annual plant and a significant summer vegetable. There are tall climbing kinds as well as a dwarf. Similar in usage to green beans, this kind of long bean is cultivated largely for its very long (35 to 75 cm) immature pods. Yard-long beans are a highly self-pollinating annual crop. Its soft green pods, popular in vegetable dishes, are the main reason for cultivation. Although yard-long beans are grown extensively throughout the tropics, they are prevalent in Bangladesh, India, Indonesia, the Philippines, and Sri Lanka, as well as in Southern Asia and Southern China (Ullah et al. 2011). Yard-long beans are vital for producing high-quality animal feed and serving as a soil's residual nitrogen source, which fixes nitrogen from the atmosphere (Leikam et al., 2007).

Yard-long beans are valued for their nutritional quantity as they are leguminous vegetables. The tender green pods of the long bean are a nutritious vegetable because they are high in dietary fiber (2g / 100g), vitamin A (941 IU / 100g), vitamin C (13 mg / 100g), phosphorus (74 mg /

100g), iron (2.5 mg / 100g) and crude protein (28%). (Singh et al. 2001). In addition, it has important micronutrients: 2.92-3.34 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of manganese, 0.33-0.57 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of cobalt, 32.58-36.66 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of zinc and 102.69-120.02 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of iron (Ano and Ubochi, 2008). Both soluble and insoluble fibers are present in large quantities in the pods. Since the entire green pod is eaten as green beans, a sufficient amount of dietary fiber is obtained. Dietary fiber protects the colon mucosa by adhering to compounds that cause cancer and shortening the time it is exposed to harmful substances.

Although yard long beans are extensively cultivated by trailing onto bowers and trellises because to their potential for continuous high production, difficulties such as delayed and inconsistent flowering and low pod set are common. Phosphorus fertilization is the key component in increasing soil productivity and maximizing yield of crops (Susila et al., 2010). Yard long bean is a nitrogen-fixing plant. It desires less nitrogen. For beans to grow and reproduce properly, potassium is a necessary plant nutrient. The optimal rate of application for growth regulators and fertilizers can guarantee improved growth and yield in yard-long beans, which will eventually encourage ordinary farmers to use these materials commercially.

Plant growth regulators, also known as plant hormones, have the potential to impact plants' metabolic and physiological responses, eventually altering their growth and development (Hayat et al. 2010). Auxin is necessary for many aspects of floral development, but the underlying mechanisms are only now becoming clear. Auxin plays a critical role in determining the fate of various flower primordial founder cells (Cheng and Zhao, 2007). Hypothetic auxin concentration variations between the founder cells and the surrounding cells, caused by polar auxin transport and/or local auxin production, may give the positional information required for primordium development. Auxins also improve quality, increase yields, minimize unwanted vegetative growth, encourage fruiting, and make harvesting easier (Sarkar et al., 2020). The current study is to determine the best combination of Auxin and nutrition for promoting flowering and fruit set in Yard Long Bean.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Location, Characteristics of Soil and Climate**

The present piece of research work was conducted in the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka, Bangladesh. The site's location is 90°33' E longitude and 23°77' N latitude with an elevation of 8.2 m from sea level. The soil of the experiment area belongs to Modhupur Tract (UNDP, 1988) under AEZ No. 28. The selected plot of soil was medium-high land and the soil series was Tejgaon (FAO, 1988). The characteristics of the soil used in the experiment were analyzed in the soil Testing Laboratory, Soil Resources Development Institute (SRDI). The climate of the experimental area was subtropical. It is characterized by its high temperature and heavy rainfall during the Kharif season i.e. April to September and rainfall associated with moderate temperature during the Robi season i.e. October to March.

### **Planting materials**

“BARI Borboti-1” has been used as planting materials. The seeds were collected from the Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Joydebpur, Gazipur.

### **Treatment, Design, and layout of the experiment**

The experiment involved two factors. Factor A and Factor B

**Factor A: Nutrient management – 4 levels**

1. N<sub>0</sub>: Control (N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>K<sub>0</sub>)
2. N<sub>1</sub>: (N<sub>12</sub>P<sub>18</sub>K<sub>22</sub>) Kg/ha
3. N<sub>2</sub>: (N<sub>18</sub>P<sub>27</sub>K<sub>33</sub>) Kg/ha
4. N<sub>3</sub>: (N<sub>24</sub>P<sub>36</sub>K<sub>44</sub>) Kg/ha

**Factor B: IAA (Indole acetic acid) – 3 levels**

1. I<sub>0</sub>: control (0 ppm)
2. I<sub>1</sub>: 20 ppm
3. I<sub>2</sub>: 40 ppm

The two factors experiment was laid out in the Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) which consists of three replications. The layout of the experiment was prepared for distributing the different combinations of nutrient management and IAA levels. The 12 treatment combinations of the experiment were assigned at random into 36 plots. The size of each unit plot is 1.5 m × 1.2 m. All together there were 36-unit plots and required 149.25 m<sup>2</sup>.

**Land preparation and fertilizer application**

Firstly, the land was plowed with a power tiller on 15<sup>th</sup> March 2019. Then the land was kept to dry sunlight. Doing ploughing and cross-ploughing the experiment plot was prepared. Laddering was done to break the clods that make the soil level. The land was cleaned by removing weeds and big clods.

In the treatment of N<sub>1</sub>: Urea, TSP, and MoP were applied at 27 kg/ha, 86 kg/ha, and 31 kg/ha, respectively. And per block 43 g urea, 61 g TSP, and 60 g MOP were given. Per split, 1.56 g urea was given.

In the treatment of N<sub>2</sub>: Urea, TSP, and MoP were applied at 39.130 kg/ha, 56.25 kg/ha, and 55kg/ha, respectively. 59 g Urea, 91 g TSP, and 89 g MoP were given per block. Per split, 2.34 g Urea was given.

In the treatment of N<sub>3</sub>: 52.2 kg/ha Urea, 75 kg/ha TSP, and 73.3 kg/ha MoP were given. 85 g Urea, 121 g TSP, and 118.8 g MoP were given per block. Per split, 3.13 gm Urea was given.

A total amount of well-composed cow dung, triple super phosphate (TSP), and murate of potash (MP) was applied and mixed with the soil during land preparation. Urea was applied as a source of Nitrogen, during final land preparation 1/3<sup>rd</sup> amount of the urea was applied and the rest amount was applied in two installments on the 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> days after sowing. The fertilizers that were applied were mixed in appropriate portions with the plot soil.

**Sowing of seeds**

Two treated seeds were sown per hill and the depth was 3.00 cm. For seed treatment, Bavistin was used to protect seeds from seed-borne diseases. The seeds were covered with pulverized soil just after sowing and gently pressed with hands. The seed sowing was done on 15<sup>th</sup> March 2019 in rows and at a spacing of 60 cm×30 cm. The seeds were covered with loose soil. French bean was sown as border crops to reduce border effects.

**Collection of Data**

Five plants were randomly selected from the middle rows of the unit plot to avoid border effect, except yields of curds, which were recorded plot-wise. Data were recorded on the growth and yield parameters such as number of leaves per plant, number of branches per plant, number of flowers per plant, number of pods per plant, weight of green pod, and pod yield per hectare.

**Statistical analysis**

The recorded data on different parameters were statistically analyzed using the MSTAT computer package program. The analysis of variance for the characters under study was performed by the “F” variance test. The differences between the pairs of treatment means were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The research results of this study respond with firm evidence that using plant growth regulators enhanced numerous significant factors linked to plant growth and production. These parameters include number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, average length of pods (cm), days to first picking, total pod yield per plot (g), and total pod yield per hectare (q). The results clearly show that plant growth regulators had a positive impact on these parameters when compared to the control group. Table 1 and Table 2 show detailed mean values for each growth and yield parameter in connection with specific plant growth regulators.

### Number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>

Different levels of nutrient management and auxin (IAA) had a significant impact on several branches of plant<sup>-1</sup> of yard-long bean, as did their interaction on different days after planting. The treatment combination **N<sub>1</sub>I<sub>2</sub>** (N<sub>18</sub> P<sub>27</sub> K<sub>33</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 40 ppm IAA) produced the most branches plant<sup>-1</sup>(13.28), while the treatment N<sub>0</sub>I<sub>0</sub> (N<sub>0</sub> P<sub>0</sub> K<sub>0</sub>kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 0 ppm IAA) produced the fewest branches plant<sup>-1</sup>(7.72) 120 days after planting. The application of IAA, which stimulates shoot development and cell elongation, is responsible for the rise in the number of branches in plant<sup>-1</sup>. This is because auxin controls cell division, resulting in a synergistic effect that increases the number of **plant<sup>-1</sup>branches**. These findings were additionally supported by the use of NAA in cowpeas by Desai and Deore (1985) and Thaware et al. (2006).

### Number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>

Meanwhile, the treatment combination of **N<sub>1</sub>I<sub>2</sub>** (N<sub>18</sub> P<sub>27</sub> K<sub>33</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 40 ppm IAA) produced the most number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>(112.50), while the lowest number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>(76.97) was observed in the treatment combination N<sub>0</sub>I<sub>0</sub> (N<sub>0</sub> P<sub>0</sub> K<sub>0</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 0 ppm IAA) at final harvesting. The observed effects are probably due to IAA increasing cell division and stimulating cell elongation, resulting in an increased number of leaves. These experimental results align with prior research by **Rai et al. (2004) [10]**, Ullah et al. (2007), **Thaware et al. (2008)**, and **Sahu and Verma (2020)**.

### Days to first harvest

N<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub> (N<sub>18</sub> P<sub>27</sub> K<sub>33</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 40 ppm IAA) had the shortest time for maturity (**69.1**), while the longest was **79.8** days for the control N<sub>0</sub>I<sub>0</sub> (N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>K<sub>0</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 0 ppm IAA) treatment. Among the various IAA concentrations, 40 ppm IAA treated plants recorded early days to harvest (70.8), but control treatment with 0 ppm IAA resulted in delayed harvesting (78.7 days). Thus, plants treated with N<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub> (N<sub>18</sub> P<sub>27</sub> K<sub>33</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 40 ppm IAA) showed early harvesting (**63.1** days) compared to the control N<sub>0</sub>I<sub>0</sub> (N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>K<sub>0</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 0 ppm IAA) treatment. The number of days to first picking was lowest in N<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>, which might be associated with the use of IAA to enhance ovary wall maturity and early fruiting. These findings are consistent with **Sahu and Verma (2020)**.

**Table 1. Number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> of yard long bean as influenced by nutrient management and auxin (IAA)**

Treatments	Number of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>		Number of leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>	
	90 DAS	120 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS
<b>N<sub>0</sub>I<sub>0</sub></b>	6.03 g	7.72 i	59.22 g	76.97 g
<b>N<sub>0</sub>I<sub>1</sub></b>	6.49 f	8.10 hi	60.88 g	78.47 g
<b>N<sub>0</sub>I<sub>2</sub></b>	6.59 ef	8.44 gh	62.37 g	80.43 fg
<b>N<sub>1</sub>I<sub>0</sub></b>	6.70 ef	9.00 fg	70.55 f	85.31 ef
<b>N<sub>1</sub>I<sub>1</sub></b>	6.94 e	9.40 ef	73.97 f	88.35 e
<b>N<sub>1</sub>I<sub>2</sub></b>	7.44 cd	10.44 d	80.36 de	95.70 cd
<b>N<sub>2</sub>I<sub>0</sub></b>	7.03 de	9.780 e	75.46 ef	93.53 d
<b>N<sub>2</sub>I<sub>1</sub></b>	7.82 c	11.80 c	85.74 cd	103.7 b
<b>N<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub></b>	9.16 a	13.28 a	94.39 a	112.5 a
<b>N<sub>3</sub>I<sub>0</sub></b>	7.61 c	11.32 c	81.99 d	100.7 bc
<b>N<sub>3</sub>I<sub>1</sub></b>	8.73 ab	12.92 ab	91.57 ab	110.7 a
<b>N<sub>3</sub>I<sub>2</sub></b>	8.29 b	12.48 b	88.50 bc	105.5 b
<b>LSD<sub>0.05</sub></b>	0.448	0.589	5.617	5.094
<b>CV(%)</b>	7.71	6.81	8.09	10.23

*In a column means having similar letter(s) are statistically similar and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly at 0.05 level of probability, N<sub>0</sub> = control (N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>K<sub>0</sub>), N<sub>1</sub> = (N<sub>12</sub>P<sub>18</sub>K<sub>22</sub>) kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub> = (N<sub>18</sub>P<sub>27</sub>K<sub>33</sub>) kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>3</sub> = (N<sub>24</sub>P<sub>36</sub>K<sub>44</sub>) kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
I<sub>0</sub> = control (0 ppm), I<sub>1</sub> = 20 ppm, I<sub>2</sub> = 40 ppm*

### Number of flowers plant<sup>-1</sup>

For the combined effect, the treatment of **N<sub>1</sub>I<sub>2</sub>** (N<sub>18</sub> P<sub>27</sub> K<sub>33</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 40 ppm IAA) produced the highest number of flowers plant<sup>-1</sup> (164.2) and the earliest flowering (36.2 days), which was statistically identical to the treatment combination of N<sub>3</sub>I<sub>1</sub> (N<sub>24</sub> P<sub>36</sub> K<sub>44</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20 ppm IAA). The treatment combination N<sub>0</sub>I<sub>0</sub> (N<sub>0</sub> P<sub>0</sub> K<sub>0</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 0 ppm IAA) resulted in the fewest flowers per plant (116.8).

### Number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>

For varying treatment combinations, **N<sub>1</sub>I<sub>2</sub>** (N<sub>18</sub> P<sub>27</sub> K<sub>33</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 40 ppm IAA) treated plants had a significantly earlier pod set (45.5 days) than control plants. The maximum number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (51.16) was recorded in, **N<sub>1</sub>I<sub>2</sub>** (N<sub>18</sub> P<sub>27</sub> K<sub>33</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 40 ppm IAA), followed by N<sub>3</sub>I<sub>1</sub> (N<sub>24</sub>P<sub>36</sub>K<sub>44</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20 ppm IAA), which had a total of 50.34 green pods. In comparison, the treatment combination N<sub>0</sub>I<sub>0</sub> (N<sub>0</sub> P<sub>0</sub> K<sub>0</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 0 ppm IAA) had the lowest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (32.51). The increased pod count per plant might be attributable to a greater proportion of pod development caused by the usage of IAA. These findings are consistent with those reported in earlier cowpea research by Shinde et al. (1991) and Thaware et al. (2008).

### Pods length (cm)

Fruit pod length showed significant differences among the treatments regarding different levels of nutrient management, auxin (IAA), and their interaction. The maximum size of the pod was reported in  $N_2I_2(N_{18} P_{27} K_{33} \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  with 40 ppm IAA), 62.44 cm, which was comparable to  $N_3I_1(N_{24}P_{36}K_{44}\text{kg ha}^{-1}$  and 20 ppm IAA), 60.48 cm. However, the length of the pod was found to be the shortest (41.30 cm) under  $N_0I_0$  (control). The increase in average pod length might be attributed to cell elongation produced by IAA and different levels of nutrients. The experimental results are comparable to those obtained by Resmi and Gopalakrishnan (2004) and Mandal and Sanyal (2004) in French bean.

### Individual pod weight (g)

The treatment combination of  $N_2I_2(N_{18} P_{27} K_{33} \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  with 40 ppm IAA) produced the highest individual pod weight (58.64 g), which was statistically identical to  $N_3I_1(N_{24}P_{36}K_{44} \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  and 20 ppm IAA), while the treatment combination of  $N_0I_0$  (control) produced the lowest individual pod weight (35.24 g), which was statistically identical to  $N_0I_1(N_0P_0K_0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  and 20 ppm IAA) and **similar with**  $N_0I_2(N_0P_0K_0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  and 40 ppm IAA).

### Pod Yield plot<sup>-1</sup>

In the experiment,  $N_2I_2$  had the maximum pod yield plot<sup>-1</sup>, or 3.00 kg, followed by  $N_3I_1$ , with a pod yield plot<sup>-1</sup> of 2.86 kg. However,  $N_0I_0$  (control) showed the lowest pod yield **plot-1** (1.14 kg). The treatment of IAA (Indole acetic acid) was responsible for a discernible increase in the number of branches **plant-1**, number of pods **plant-1**, and pod yield **plant-1**, which in turn contributed to the rise in pod yield plot<sup>-1</sup>. These results are consistent with those of earlier research by Thaware et al. (2006) and **Sahu & Verma (2020)**.

### Yield ha<sup>-1</sup>

The current study found that varied levels of nutrient management, auxin (IAA), and their interaction had a significant effect on yield **ha-1** of yard-long bean. The treatment  $N_2(N_{18} P_{27} K_{33} \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ , which was statistically equivalent to  $N_3(N_{18} P_{27} K_{33})$ , had the highest yield **ha-1** (14.05 t), whereas the control treatment  $N_0(N_0P_0K_0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$  had the lowest yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (7.65 t). Among factors of auxin, the highest yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (12.57 t) was found from the treatment  $I_2$  (40 ppm IAA) which was statistically identical with  $I_1$  (20 ppm IAA) whereas the lowest yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (9.83 t) was obtained from the control treatment  $I_0$  (0 ppm IAA). However, the highest yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (16.64 t) was achieved from the treatment combination of  $N_2I_2$  which was statistically identical with  $N_3I_1$  followed by  $N_2I_1$ . The lowest yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (6.36 t) was observed from the treatment combination of  $N_0I_0$  which was significantly different from all other treatment combinations.

**Table 2. The combined effect of different levels of nutrient management and auxin (IAA) on crop duration of yard-long bean**

Treatments	Yield contributing parameters						
	Number of flowers plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Days to first harvest	Pod length (cm)	Individual pod weight (g)	Yield plot <sup>-1</sup> (g)	Yield ha <sup>-1</sup> (t)
N <sub>0</sub> I <sub>0</sub>	116.80 h	32.51 f	71.67 a	41.30 g	35.24 g	1.14 i	6.36 h
N <sub>0</sub> I <sub>1</sub>	125.40 g	39.40 e	70.67 ab	44.76 f	36.72 g	1.44 h	8.01 g
N <sub>0</sub> I <sub>2</sub>	128.50 g	41.41 de	70.00 bc	45.12 ef	37.36 fg	1.54 gh	8.57 g
N <sub>1</sub> I <sub>0</sub>	135.70 f	43.00 cde	69.33 c	45.40 ef	40.38 ef	1.73 fg	9.62 f
N <sub>1</sub> I <sub>1</sub>	136.90 ef	43.80 cd	67.67 d	47.00 ef	41.60 e	1.82 ef	10.12 f
N <sub>1</sub> I <sub>2</sub>	145.70 d	44.33 cd	65.33 e	50.72 d	47.36 cd	2.10 d	11.64 de
N <sub>2</sub> I <sub>0</sub>	141.80 de	43.95 cd	66.00 e	47.36 e	45.14 d	1.99 de	11.03 e
N <sub>2</sub> I <sub>1</sub>	160.00 ab	49.43 ab	59.67 h	55.78 b	52.88 b	2.61 b	14.48 b
N <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub>	164.20 a	51.16 a	58.00 i	62.44 a	58.64 a	3.00 a	16.64 a
N <sub>3</sub> I <sub>0</sub>	151.50 c	45.69 c	63.67 f	51.88 cd	48.60 c	2.22 cd	12.32 d
N <sub>3</sub> I <sub>1</sub>	163.80 a	50.34 a	58.67 hi	60.48 a	56.80 a	2.86 a	15.88 a
N <sub>3</sub> I <sub>2</sub>	155.90 bc	46.46 bc	62.33 g	54.14 bc	52.14 b	2.42 bc	13.42 c
<b>LSD<sub>0.05</sub></b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>3.61</b>	<b>1.225</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>3.34</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.84</b>
<b>CV</b>	<b>12.54</b>	<b>8.63</b>	<b>8.11</b>	<b>6.19</b>	<b>7.28</b>	<b>6.97</b>	<b>6.99</b>

*In a column means having similar letter(s) are statistically similar and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly at 0.05 level of probability, N<sub>0</sub> = control (N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>K<sub>0</sub>), N<sub>1</sub> = (N<sub>12</sub>P<sub>18</sub>K<sub>22</sub>) kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub> = (N<sub>18</sub>P<sub>27</sub>K<sub>33</sub>) kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>3</sub> = (N<sub>24</sub>P<sub>36</sub>K<sub>44</sub>) kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
I<sub>0</sub> = control (0 ppm), I<sub>1</sub> = 20 ppm, I<sub>2</sub> = 40 ppm*

## CONCLUSION

The study found that combining two factors, management of nutrients and Indole acetic acid (IAA), improves the growth and yield of yard-long bean. The application of these sprays has shown promising results in enhancing both the growth and yield of the yard-long bean plant. Among the treatments examined, treatment N<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>, which contained N<sub>18</sub> P<sub>27</sub> K<sub>33</sub> and 40 ppm IAA, was found extremely effective in enhancing different parameters. This included the number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (7.72), the number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (51.16), pod length (60.48 cm), total pod yield plot<sup>-1</sup> (3.00 kg), and pod yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (14.05 t). In conclusion, the combined application of nutrients and IAA is advantageous in boosting the growth and yield of yard-long bean.

## References:

1. Ano AO, Ubochi CI. Nutrient composition of climbing and prostrate vegetable cowpea accessions. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2008;7(20):3795-3798.
2. Bhagavati PP, Kiran TSKK, Prasad NV, Reddy MLN. Correlation for growth, quality, yield, and yield components in yard long bean (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. ssp. *sesquipedalis* Verdc.), International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science.

- 2019;8(1):410-414.
3. Cheng, Y. and Zhao, Y. (2007), A Role for Auxin in Flower Development. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 49: 99-104. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2006.00412.x>
  4. Desai SN, Deore DD. Influence of growth regulators on the seed production of cowpea. *Journal of Maharashtra Agriculture University*. 1985;10(1):89-90.
  5. HayatQ, HayatS, IrfanM, AhmadA. Effect of exogenous application of salicylic acid under changing environment. A review. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. 2010;68(1):14-25.
  6. Mandal S, Sanyal D. Response of French bean to foliar application of NAA and CPPU. *Horticulture Journal*. 2004;17(3):235-240.
  7. Rai N, Patel RK, Yadav DS, Asati BS. Effect of PGR on growth, flowering, and yield of pole-type French bean cv. Meghalaya local. *Vegetable science*. 2004;31(1):95-97.
  8. Reshmi, Gopalakrishnan. Studied the effect of plant growth regulators on the performance of yard long bean (*Vigna unguiculata* var. *sesquipedalis* L. Verdcourt). *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*. 2004;42(1-2):55-57.
  9. Sarkar BC, Minjee PR, Nisu ZU, Islam MJ, Ali MJ. Morpho-physiological characteristics and yield attributes of three aromatic rice cultivars in response to 6- BAP. *International Journal of Agriculture and Medicinal Plants*. 2020;1(1):1-9.
  10. Shinde AK, Jamadagni BM, Birari SP. Effect of foliar spray of growth regulators and  $KNO_3$  on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) variety VCM-8. *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology*. 1991;34(4):392-395.
  11. Thaware BG, Jadhav BB, Mahadik SG, Mane AV. Effect of foliar application of growth regulators on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology*. 2006;18(2):135-139.
  12. Thaware BG, Jadhav BB, Mahadik SG, Kandalkar MP. Effect of seed soaking with different growth regulator on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *Journal of Eco biology*. 2008;22(1):53-56.
  13. Uallah J, Quazi AF, Hossain F. Response of growth, yield attributes and yield to the application of KNP and NAA in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). *Bangladesh Journal Botany*. 2007;36(2):127-132.
  14. Ullah MZ, Hassan MJ, Rahman AHMA, Saki AI. Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in yard long bean, *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*. 2011;9(2):9-16.