

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_120015
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE INFLUENCE OF SHRIMP FARMING ON RICE GROWERS AND ANALYZING POLICY IMPLICATIONS IN NAVSARI DISTRICT OF GUJARAT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important because it deals with areas of interest as a source of human protein and income generation.</p> <p>I suggest: Impact of Shrimp Farming and Government Policy Implications on Rice Production in Navsari District of Gujarat</p> <p>Not comprehensive because most of the factors of discussion were not captured e.g. exclusion of tool of analysis, percentages of respondents in discussion,</p> <p>No, because it is not appropriately structured, lacks professional attitude towards research presentation and completeness.</p> <p>The references are not sufficient though not all the cited sources were referenced, most are not recent. I suggest a review of this paper by the author to consult more literatures to give the paper more substance.</p> <p>Which tool of analysis was used, where is it presented and interpreted. A more appropriate tool of analysis would have been multiple regression for impact/effect of shrimp farming on rice production and government policy implications.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author should be given an opportunity to further work on this article and resubmit because of its important in addressing nutrition issue.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christiana Ogonna Igberi
Department, University & Country	Alex Ekwueme Federal University Ndufu-Alike, Nigeria