

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_119352
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Chronic and Infectious Diseases Potency of Mangifera indica
Type of the Article	Systematic review article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.The manuscript is important for scientific community. The manuscript is putting together informations about Mangifera indica suitable to prepare a drug or a neutaceutical</p> <p>2.The title is not suitable and I suggest A review of composition, Chronic and Infectious Diseases Potency of Mangifera indica</p> <p>3. the abstract is comprehensive but the aim is not clear</p> <p>4. subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but in result subsection on anemia treatment, there are results on pathogenic microbe</p> <p>5. the manuscript is scientifically correct but there are too many mistakes as fibre, pancrease.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent but references 22,23 and 24 are absent in the references list</p> <p>Additional comments . introduction should be write using more than one reference, references are important in methodology section and should be writed near every result discussion is lacking subsections on anemia treatment. References list should be rewrite .</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	language/English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Make sure that you have the same subsections in methodology, results and discussion sections.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kengne Nouemsi Anne Pascale</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Dschang, Cameroon</b>