

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120234
Title of the Manuscript:	Augmented Reality-Based Learning Media for Very High Frequency Omni-Directional Range (VOR) Navigation Training
Type of the Article	Analytical Paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This research study aims to develop a learning media using Augmented Reality (AR) technology focused on the Very High Frequency Omni Directional Range (VOR) course as a navigation aid at the Aviation Polytechnic. Through the use of AR, this research aims to create a more interactive and real learning experience for aviation cadets in understanding the concepts and practices of navigation using VOR.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Title is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract is ok.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>My observations are as follows- Introduction – More discussion is required to highlight the gaps and justify why the present work is undertaken; so that the readers will be inspired to look for additional information what the rest of the paper is going to offer. The contribution of this work must be listed separately in Introduction. Mention the sections used in the manuscript. The rest of the sections are arranged in tune with the list. Literature Review is missing. It must be included as no research is done in isolation. Figures and tables are not discussed anywhere in the content. The purpose of the figures and tables must be discussed. Results and Discussions- The questionnaire must be highlighted in tabular form along with their results. References- No recent work is included in the section. References listed are very old. Include the recent development in the field. References included are not properly cited throughout the paper. It must be taken on top priority.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research discussion covers the process of developing AR learning media. The work is found to be scientifically robust as the paper provides needs analysis, interaction design, implementation of AR technology, and evaluation of its effectiveness in supporting learning environment, which are the essential part of a scientific study.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>References are old. Latest references must be included in support of your work.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality is poor. Not suitable for scholastic manuscript. Needs sincere revision. Few observations (not exhaustive)- <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The statement –“40% of the total number of hours of theory and 60% of the total number of hours of practice” is typed twice.• Remove dissertation from the statement-“The scope of the dissertation”.• Correction in words e.g.-stanby, unfocusedness etc.• KBM, CPMK are abbreviated only.• Statement is incomplete- “If the learning process does not use the media, the learning process will occur”.• Statement is incomplete –“classification of ()” and many more	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoj Kumar
Department, University & Country	Maharaja Surajmal Institute, India