

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAAR_120819</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Review on Community Radio Stations of Karnataka</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In as much as the author enumerates and expose readers to a number of community radio stations and some important topics pertaining to the scientific world, the aim of the study especially the look at challenges faced in those community radio stations are not discussed in his submission. No exposé is done on one of his conclusions "facilitate inclusive communication" in any of the community stations. It also does not address the developmental issues often overlooked by mainstream media in any of his submissions. No in-depth scientific issues are discussed, the stations discuss wide range of issues thus, does not send any strong important message to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It's not comprehensive. A good abstract should be concise and contain, the title and purpose of the study, instruments used to collect data, the findings, conclusion and recommendation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Important subsections like statement of the problem, discussions of findings and recommendation (subject to the journal) are omitted.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is not scientifically robust. Only examples of some health- related issues are mentioned. No in-depth discussions about their impact to the communities are mentioned. The challenges faced by community radio stations in broadcasting those health -related issues and their impact on listeners is missing. This manuscript is just highlighting on community stations in India and some topics discussed on radio.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The writer should pay attention to in-text citation. For example, Sharma, Rathore and Sharma, 2021 should be Sharma, Rathore & Sharma, 2021 or Sharma et al., 2021	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gloria Baffoe</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Education, Ghana</b>