

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_120819
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Community Radio Stations of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The abstract is weak. It does not highlight the significance of the research. The methodology is missing in the research study. There is no hypothesis and the research question is identified.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the title is not suitable. Alternative title may be "Role of Radio Stations of Karnataka in Human Development in India: A Case Study"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the abstract is not well written.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, the whole research study is not well structured and well written. Without a research question or hypothesis, how can the research be conducted?	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part .	No, The research paper is not scientifically robust and technically sound. It misses the basic steps of a research study like research problem, hypothesis, research question, methodology, etc.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are insufficient and the APA style is not followed.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality needs to improve a lot. The research study is not well-written and structured. There are many grammar mistakes.	
Optional/General comments	The research paper is not well structured and well written. The scientific steps of the research methodology are not followed along with the APA format style.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alina Khan
Department, University & Country	National University of Technology, Pakistan