

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120152</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MARKET POTENTIAL AND FARMERS' BUYING BEHAVIOUR TOWARDS CUMIN SEEDS IN RAJKOT DISTRICT, GUJARAT</b>
Type of the Article	original article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of N o velt y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	It's important not only for farmers in Botad district about knowing the potential production but also for stakeholders in cumin seeds market.	
comprehensive <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> <i>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</i>	Suitable.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	No. It should show research process steps such as the identify the problem, the objective, Data collection, data analysis, result, conclusion and recommendation.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	1. May be not because of the analysis of Likert scale. Because of the Likert scale did not explain in data analysis of level scale and reference of this methodology. Awareness of Likert scale that normally are level: 1 – Very dissatisfied 2 – Dissatisfied 3 – Unsure 4 – Satisfied 5 – Very satisfied.  2. The table have to show the unit in each topic such as year, kg., acre.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	Adding about Likert scale research.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It should add the discussion relevant the topics.	

[Review Form 1.8](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Teeka Yotapakdee</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maejo University Phrae Campus, Thailand</b>