

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IRJPAC_120261 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Proximate, Selected Elements and Bioactive Compounds in <i>Jatropha tanjorensis</i> and <i>Bryophyllum pinnatum</i> Leaves |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Honestly, it is very difficult to understand this article. The figures in the introduction do not make sense. The chromatograms do not have enough resolution. I do not understand how the compounds reported were identified. Update bibliographic references What is the OBJECTIVE? what is the justification? | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | May be yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | In my view, this manuscript is a very poorly worked draft. I suggest returning this paper to its authors to review, rewrite and resubmit. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | There is no clear discussion. The various components of the possible publication are not understood | |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The figures in the introduction do not make sense. The chromatograms do not have enough resolution. I do not understand how the compounds reported were identified. What is the OBJECTIVE? what is the justification? | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | Update bibliographic references | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Alma Angélica Del Villar Martínez |
| Department, University & Country | Instituto Politécnico Nacional, México |