

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120367</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Economic Viability of Small-Scale Farming in a Globalized Market</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for the scientific community since it has contributed to knowledge by looking at small –scale farming in various parts of the world such as Vietnam and India. It has also provided a deeper understanding of small-scale farming and its economic viability, factors affecting economic viability as well as opportunities presented.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable. However, it can as well be “ Small-scale farming: Challenges and Opportunities.”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. Nonetheless, the abstract can also include how sampling was done, collection of data, presentation in addition to making recommendations.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure is appropriate since the manuscript has an abstract, introduction, results and conclusion section.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct because has indicated how primary and secondary data on small-scale farming was analysed, presentation of data through use of tables and figures, results/findings and its conclusion. However, it did not have sections on sample size and sampling procedures, collection of primary and secondary data and discussion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	The references used in the manuscript are sufficient and relatively recent.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Figures captions should appear below the diagrams.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Godhard Muiruri Kariuki</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kenyatta University, Kenya</b>