

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119802
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different organic manures along with microbial consortia on growth and yield of Kalmegh (<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> Nees.)
Type of the Article	EMPIRICAL STUDY

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>NO. SEE MODIFICATION IN THE PAPER</p> <p>NO. SEE MODIFICATION IN THE PAPER</p> <p>NOT YET. PENDING MAJOR REVISION.</p> <p>REFERENCES INSUFFICIENT. SEE PAPER FOR SUGGESTION IN COMMENTS</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>FAIR. AN IMPROVEMENT IS SUGGESTED.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>EVERY AREA THAT NEED OVERHAULING HAS BEEN COMMENTED ON IN THE PAPER. THIS SHOULD BE METICULOUSLY ADHERED TO SO AS TO BRING A GOLD OUT OF THIS PAPER.</p> <p>I. ABSTRACT TO BE REWORKED</p> <p>II. INTRODUCTION SHOULD BE REWORKED TO STANDARD IN LINE WITH WHAT WAS SUGGESTED.</p> <p>II. MATERIAL AND METHODS PATTERN RECOMMENDED IN THE PAPER.</p> <p>IV. THE RESULT AND DISCUSSION SHOULD BE MADE MORE ROBUST WITH RELEVANT AND CURRENT LITERATURES.</p> <p>V. NO CONCLUSION IN THE CURRENT PAPER BUT SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO SUMMARIZE THE MAJOR FINDINGS OBTAINED IN THE STUDY.</p> <p>VI. REFERENCES SHOULD BE BEEFED UP.</p> <p>VII. NO KEYWORDS BUT IF REQUIRED SHOULD BE INSERTED AFTER ABSTRACT.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Efe M. Omwirhiren
Department, University & Country	Federal University College of Education, Nigeria