

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120309</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Beyond Bugs: Exploring the Role of Insects in Medicinal and Industrial Plant Applications</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic selected for the MS is justified for highlighting the importance of insects Introduction is poor. The introductory statements are not explaining the readers the need of exploring the insects' roles apart from pests. Only medicinal values and entomophagy is included and there is no mention of insects for their role in ecosystem services, biological indicators which are however, included in the MS.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The term 'industrial plant application' is not justified in the title. Go for 'Beyond Bugs: Exploring various role of insects' instead.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The word 'untapped' in the second line 'untapped potential of insects...' is not true as the beneficial aspects of insects have been studied before.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	How insects are contributing in the biodiversity conservation if their own population is declining? This section is irrelevant to the topic. The section 'Insect farming and...' needs to be restructured as the content is not consistent with the heading.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Similar content should be included under a single heading like if 'edible insects...' is already a suitable heading then there is no need of making 'Insect utilization for nutrition gain...' as a separate section and should be merged in the former one only.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References are not aligned as per the citation numbers.	
Minor REVISION comments	Maintain uniformity through out the MS such as if one figure label is in bold, do the same for all the figures. Check submission guidelines for details. The scientific names need to be italicized.	
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The figures are out sourced without any references and due credits. Some figures are copied as it is so they are not clearly visible.	

Comment [st1]: How is this untapped

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saniya Tyagi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>B.R.D. P.G. College, India</b>