

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120309
Title of the Manuscript:	Beyond Bugs: Exploring the Role of Insects in Medicinal and Industrial Plant Applications
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the subject of this manuscript. I only heard about entomotherapy but gained sufficient knowledge after reading the manuscript. Manuscript is significant in many ways like it has highlighted the global food security issue and at the same time provided a possible solution in terms of insects as food source. Secondly, manuscript has highlighted the medicinal value of insects.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable and appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract has provided a good summary of the entire manuscript. Almost all the aspects of the manuscripts are briefly discussed in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well written and well structured. Sufficient information is provided under each heading. Figures are well developed and understandable.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears scientifically correct because it is well written, well organized, well structured. It has presented new and recent finding on the subject with proper citation. The manuscript has good graphics. Language of the manuscript is scientific but at the same time easy to understand. It is easy to follow the flow of manuscript as you go from one heading to another.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	References are sufficient and most of the references are recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language of the manuscript is simple and easy to understand, hence communicable to the readers or audience.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I changed a word 'plant' in to 'insect' in the first paragraph of introduction. The word is highlighted in yellow.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amara Aftab
Department, University & Country	Govt Girls Postgraduate College, Pakistan