

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119646
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of time and severity of pruning on vegetative and reproductive characteristics of Ber cv. BAU Kul
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Strengths: The study followed the standard methods of analysis by AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) to determine fruit quality parameters, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of the results 7. A variance analysis recommended by Panse and Sukhatme, along with significance tests like Fisher's 'F' test, was used, demonstrating a solid statistical approach in data interpretation 3.</p> <p>Weaknesses: While the measurement methods for different parameters such as fruit weight and titratable acidity are mentioned, a detailed description of the procedures followed is not provided, making it challenging to replicate the study. Although different pruning treatments are mentioned, a detailed explanation of the rationale behind the selection of these specific treatments is missing, which could impact the understanding of the results. While the results of different pruning treatments are presented, the discussion on the practical implications of these results and how they could influence agricultural practice is limited.</p> <p>Suggestions: It is recommended to provide a detailed description of the methods used in data collection and analysis, which would facilitate the replicability of the study by other researchers. Including a section that explains the rationale behind the selection of specific pruning treatments would be beneficial, helping readers better understand the experimental design. It is suggested to expand the discussion to include practical implications of the results obtained, as well as possible recommendations for farmers cultivating ber cv. BAU Kul.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dustin Tahisin Gómez Rodríguez
Department, University & Country	Corporación Universitaria de Asturias, Colombia