

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_120922
Title of the Manuscript:	Recent innovation in precision agriculture and their impact on crop and soil health
Type of the Article	Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The quality of the manuscript is good and important for scientific community the literature review is ok. Currently precision agriculture is in need for developing future farming inventions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is well composed having relevance, clarity and effectiveness but a small suggestion was given "Recent innovations in precision agriculture and their impact on crop and soil health"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it was well structured	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, it is scientifically robust and technically sound. It pinpoints the key elements like its objectives and conclusions	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some minor grammatical mistakes which I've suggested In the manuscript	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nil	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lellapalli Rithesh
Department, University & Country	Kerala Agricultural University, India