

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120922</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Recent innovation in precision agriculture and their impact on crop and soil health</b>
Type of the Article	<b>A Review</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I liked this manuscript, because it adds new findings to the scientific community. Also the novelty of the manuscript to the discipline. Know the the days precision agriculture started earlier but, no more attention was given by most cuntry and no more research were done by it, so this manuscript can contribute more to the scholars, when constructive comments were incorporated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Recent innovation in precision agriculture and their impact on crop and soil health: A Compressive Review	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article comprehensive but, it needs rewriting in order to make sound by incorporating points like: Provide an overview of the precision agriculture, Major challenges of precision agriculture , Need of your study, Major findings, and Conclusion and recommendations based on your review findings	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author/s in this manuscript follow the guidelines of the journal and also, the scientific ways.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, most references are recent and sufficient, but commented in the document which needs citation of the sources.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Its language quality is well.	
Optional/General comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alemu Andualem
Department, University & Country	Bonga University, Ethiopia