

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_120587
Title of the Manuscript:	Fostering Community Involvement in Sustainable Horticulture Initiatives
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In today's rapidly evolving world, sustainable agriculture is a key focus for industries across the globe. One crucial aspect of sustainable agriculture is horticulture, which is vital in food production, environmental conservation, and economic growth.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No addition or deletion is required all are valid sentences.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of this Manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title looks too general, Authors are advised to rewrite the title into "A critical review on Fostering Community Involvement in Sustainable Horticulture Initiatives." The horticulture industry contributes significantly to the economy, generating employment opportunities and fostering rural development. In the United Kingdom alone, the horticulture sector is valued at billions of pounds and supports thousands of jobs. By investing in horticultural practices, countries can stimulate economic growth while ensuring food security and reducing dependence on imports. So initiative is necessary for the developing nation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, reference sections are appropriate, no need to further correction.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the English knowledge is sounds good, no need further corrections required.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No comments	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Murali Dharan Velappan
Department, University & Country	Amet University, India