

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	
Manuscript Number:	
Title of the Manuscript:	
Type of Article :	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Abstract is revised somewhat manner.</p> <p>Objective mentioned – sentence can be reframed.</p> <p>Methodology and the data extraction is still not clear.</p>	<p>Objective mentioned – The sentences have been rephrased and reframed (highlighted yellow).</p> <p>Methodology and data extraction- We consider this methodology to be strong because it employs a comprehensive and systematic approach to gather pertinent literature from diverse databases such as Embase, Web of Science, PubMed, and Scopus. The eligibility criteria are clearly outlined, concentrating on studies involving human subjects diagnosed with thyroid cancers and excluding irrelevant publications to uphold the review's precision and quality. Furthermore, the meticulous data extraction process, rigorous quality assessment using established tools, and independent review by two evaluators ensure the reliability and comprehensiveness of synthesizing findings.</p>