

# PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL OF DIFFERENT VILLAGES OF DISTRICT SOLAN, HIMACHAL PRADESH, INDIA

## ABSTRACT

The research was conducted to assess the physical and chemical properties of soils from twenty-one villages of Solan district, Himachal Pradesh within its geographical coordinates ranging from the latitude 30°44'53" to 31°22'01" N and longitude 76°36'10" to 77°15'14" E, during 2023-2024, India. The villages represent diverse landforms, including contour farming, plains, and slopes. Soil samples were collected from two depths, 0-15 and 15-30 cm, and analysed them using standard laboratory protocols. The predominant soil texture was sandy loam, bulk density ranges from 1.22 to 1.39 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, particle density from 2.42 to 2.67 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, and percent pore space varied between 40.50% and 46.68%, while the percent water holding capacity ranges from 37.65% to 45.53%. Soil pH ranged from 6.61 to 7.47. Electrical conductivity was measured between 0.18 and 0.34 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, indicating non-saline conditions. Organic carbon content was medium (0.30%) to high (0.61%). The available nitrogen content ranged from 232.68 to 279.64 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available phosphorus from 37.23 to 64.54 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and available potassium from 262.14 to 365.34 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. These findings provide valuable insights into the soil health of the region, with implications for agricultural practices and land management.

**Keywords:** Solan district, soil physical-chemical parameters, and landform types.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Soil is an essential natural resource that plays a vital role in sustaining life and impacting national economies. It undergoes weathering processes on Earth's surface, encompassing physical, chemical, and biological elements [9]. The significance of soil lies in its ability to support terrestrial food production by providing crucial elements for plant growth, including water, nutrients, oxygen, anchorage, and temperature regulation. This ensures the sustainability and productivity of ecosystems and agriculture [3]. As a living system, soil performs a multitude of ecological functions. It serves as a medium for plant growth, acts as a habitat for organisms, conserves biodiversity, maintains water and air quality, provides raw materials, and serves as a platform for various structures [17]. The characteristics of soil and its management practices have a direct impact on ecosystem health and productivity. Soil plays a vital role in the production of food, fodder, fiber, fuel, and forest products, as well as in maintaining

biodiversity and environmental quality [7]. Soil supports vegetation by supplying moisture and nutrients, while vegetation, in turn, protects soil from erosion and enhances fertility through the decomposition of litter [3]. This mutual interaction helps to preserve biodiversity and global environmental quality [9]. Soil properties, which are influenced by both natural and human factors, such as texture, mineralogy, carbon and nutrient storage, pH, and water holding capacity, are crucial for making informed decisions regarding crop production and land use [11]. Environmental factors, such as climate, landscape features, and topography, also have a significant impact on the spatial variation of soil [14]. Understanding these properties is essential for optimal land utilization and assessing the resilience of crops.

## **2. OBJECTIVE**

In the Solan district of Himachal Pradesh, where different landforms bring about unique management difficulties, soil health plays a critical role in ensuring agricultural productivity and environmental sustainability. The lack of soil data presents difficulties in implementing effective agricultural practices and land management. This study assesses the soil properties in twenty-one villages at two depths (0-15 and 15-30 cm) to improve fertility, water retention, and nutrient availability. The results will offer valuable information about soil health, informing improved farming practices and sustainable agriculture strategies across Solan's varied landscapes.

## **3. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The 42 representative soil samples were collected from 21 different villages in Solan district, Himachal Pradesh. These samples were taken from 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth. The latitude range of the district is 30°44'53" to 31°22'01" N, while the longitude ranges from 76°36'10" to 77°15'14" E. The sampling process utilized a khurpi implement to excavate V-shaped soil samples in randomly selected farmers' fields, ensuring a random distribution of the samples. The collected soil samples were then analysed for various parameters including soil texture, bulk density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ), particle density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ), pore space (%), water holding capacity (%), pH, electrical conductivity ( $\text{dS m}^{-1}$ ), organic carbon (%), available nitrogen ( $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ ), phosphorus ( $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ ), and potassium ( $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ ). The analysis was performed following standard procedures as outlined by Bouyoucos (1927), Muthuvel *et al.*, (1992), Jackson (1958), Wilcox (1950), Walkley and Black (1947), Subbiah and Asija (1956), Olsen *et al.*, (1954), and Toth and Prince (1949). The data collected during the investigation was analysed using the

completely randomized design, following the "Analysis of Variance technique" introduced by Fisher (1960).

**Table 1. The study area using GIS map.**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Sample Id</b>	<b>Latitude (°N)</b>	<b>Longitude (°E)</b>
<b>Contour farming</b>	<b>CS<sub>1</sub></b>	30°55'39.0"	77°04'43.2"
	<b>CS<sub>2</sub></b>	30°55'31.6"	77°07'01.7"
	<b>CS<sub>3</sub></b>	30°57'51.0"	76°58'40.7"
	<b>CS<sub>4</sub></b>	30°53'51.8"	77°10'06.6"
	<b>CS<sub>5</sub></b>	30°58'16.4"	76°58'03.4"
	<b>CS<sub>6</sub></b>	31°00'21.9"	76°55'42.6"
	<b>CS<sub>7</sub></b>	30°59'07.5"	76°58'50.8"
<b>Sloppy area</b>	<b>SS<sub>1</sub></b>	31°01'38.7"	76°55'42.5"
	<b>SS<sub>2</sub></b>	31°04'50.2"	76°56'37.6"
	<b>SS<sub>3</sub></b>	31°04'39.0"	76°58'03.0"
	<b>SS<sub>4</sub></b>	30°59'33.2"	76°57'32.4"
	<b>SS<sub>5</sub></b>	31°00'21.1"	76°58'15.5"
	<b>SS<sub>6</sub></b>	31°04'02.8"	76°55'53.8"
	<b>SS<sub>7</sub></b>	30°58'14.6"	77°06'20.3"
<b>Plain area</b>	<b>PS<sub>1</sub></b>	30°57'11.1"	76°47'58.7"
	<b>PS<sub>2</sub></b>	30°58'22.2"	76°44'39.8"
	<b>PS<sub>3</sub></b>	30°58'30.9"	76°46'35.9"
	<b>PS<sub>4</sub></b>	30°57'28.9"	76°45'30.4"
	<b>PS<sub>5</sub></b>	30°53'41.9"	76°51'23.4"
	<b>PS<sub>6</sub></b>	30°53'26.4"	76°52'52.9"
	<b>PS<sub>7</sub></b>	30°53'03.3"	30°53'03.3"

#### **4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Statistical analysis (confidence level) reveals significant differences in bulk density, particle density, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, and nutrient contents among landforms. However, percent pore space, water holding capacity, and soil pH show non-significant differences.

The table 2 indicates that soil texture varies across different terrains, in the contour farming area, the soil predominantly consists of sandy loam, with sand content ranging from 68.59 to 74.21%, silt from 19.36 to 25.32%, and clay from 5.14 to 11.32%. The sloppy area also exhibits sandy loam texture, with slightly lower sand content (63.65 to 69.56%) and higher silt (21.79 to 28.79%) and clay (6.82 to 11.23%). Meanwhile, the plain area shows a different sandy clay loam texture, with sand content between 53.39 to 57.07%, silt from 13.17 to 22.41%, and a significantly higher clay content ranging from 21.05 to 32.18%.

**Table 2.** Percent sand, silt and clay and their texture of soil of different villages of Solan District Himachal Pradesh.

Area	Selected villages	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	Texture
<b>Contour farming</b>	CS <sub>1</sub>	74.21	20.21	5.58	Sandy Loam
	CS <sub>2</sub>	73.68	21.18	5.14	Sandy Loam
	CS <sub>3</sub>	70.12	24.32	5.56	Sandy Loam
	CS <sub>4</sub>	70.59	24.23	5.18	Sandy Loam
	CS <sub>5</sub>	68.59	25.32	6.09	Sandy Loam
	CS <sub>6</sub>	71.12	22.14	6.74	Sandy Loam
	CS <sub>7</sub>	69.32	19.36	11.32	Sandy Loam
<b>Sloppy area</b>	SS <sub>1</sub>	65.52	27.37	7.11	Sandy Loam
	SS <sub>2</sub>	63.65	27.20	9.15	Sandy Loam
	SS <sub>3</sub>	64.39	28.79	6.82	Sandy Loam
	SS <sub>4</sub>	67.26	24.28	8.46	Sandy Loam
	SS <sub>5</sub>	65.16	23.61	11.23	Sandy Loam
	SS <sub>6</sub>	67.48	24.10	8.42	Sandy Loam
	SS <sub>7</sub>	69.56	21.79	8.65	Sandy Loam
<b>Plain area</b>	PS <sub>1</sub>	56.54	22.41	21.05	Sandy Clay Loam
	PS <sub>2</sub>	53.39	20.60	26.01	Sandy Clay Loam
	PS <sub>3</sub>	55.58	20.79	23.63	Sandy Clay Loam
	PS <sub>4</sub>	54.65	13.17	32.18	Sandy Clay Loam
	PS <sub>5</sub>	55.05	21.48	23.47	Sandy Clay Loam
	PS <sub>6</sub>	56.59	21.09	22.32	Sandy Clay Loam

<b>PS<sub>7</sub></b>	57.07	20.29	22.64	Sandy Clay Loam
-----------------------	-------	-------	-------	--------------------

The data from table 3 illustrates significant variations in soil physical properties such as bulk density, particle density, percent pore space and percent water holding capacity across different terrains: contour farming, sloppy areas, and plain areas, at a 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth.

**Table 3.** Bulk density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ), particle density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ), pore space (%) and water holding capacity (%) of soil at 0-15 and 15-30 cm depths of different villages of Solan district, Himachal Pradesh.

Area	Selected villages	Bulk density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ )		Particle density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ )		Pore space (%)		Water holding capacity (%)	
		0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm
Contour farming	CS <sub>1</sub>	1.22	1.25	2.42	2.46	45.31	43.52	44.32	42.87
	CS <sub>2</sub>	1.23	1.26	2.43	2.47	46.68	45.38	45.44	43.98
	CS <sub>3</sub>	1.25	1.28	2.45	2.49	45.82	44.36	43.26	41.66
	CS <sub>4</sub>	1.24	1.27	2.44	2.47	44.85	43.36	43.75	42.15
	CS <sub>5</sub>	1.27	1.30	2.47	2.50	46.58	45.06	45.53	44.27
	CS <sub>6</sub>	1.24	1.28	2.45	2.49	46.24	44.75	45.28	43.98
	CS <sub>7</sub>	1.26	1.29	2.46	2.49	45.61	42.94	43.58	41.98
Sloppy area	SS <sub>1</sub>	1.28	1.31	2.53	2.57	44.28	42.93	39.61	37.69
	SS <sub>2</sub>	1.31	1.34	2.56	2.60	42.32	40.84	40.45	38.45
	SS <sub>3</sub>	1.30	1.33	2.55	2.59	44.06	42.84	41.95	39.65
	SS <sub>4</sub>	1.28	1.31	2.52	2.56	42.91	41.06	39.96	37.53
	SS <sub>5</sub>	1.29	1.33	2.53	2.57	43.65	41.72	40.65	37.95
	SS <sub>6</sub>	1.27	1.31	2.51	2.56	42.94	41.18	39.42	37.65
	SS <sub>7</sub>	1.26	1.29	2.46	2.50	44.57	42.73	42.48	39.93
Plain area	PS <sub>1</sub>	1.35	1.38	2.60	2.64	42.54	40.87	40.39	38.41
	PS <sub>2</sub>	1.37	1.40	2.62	2.66	44.16	42.86	42.25	39.96
	PS <sub>3</sub>	1.36	1.39	2.61	2.65	43.67	41.86	41.44	39.74
	PS <sub>4</sub>	1.36	1.38	2.61	2.64	42.56	40.85	40.86	38.52

<b>PS<sub>5</sub></b>	1.37	1.39	2.63	2.67	42.58	40.75	40.76	38.47
<b>PS<sub>6</sub></b>	1.34	1.36	2.58	2.62	41.75	40.50	39.23	37.65
<b>PS<sub>7</sub></b>	1.35	1.37	2.59	2.63	44.54	42.76	42.49	40.18
<b>F test</b>	S	S	S	S	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>S. EM (±)</b>	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.804	0.703	0.709	0.739
<b>C.D. @ 5%</b>	0.023	0.022	0.023	0.025	NS	NS	NS	NS

The maximum bulk density, measured at 1.37 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 1.40 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was observed in the plain area (PS2) while the minimum bulk density, recorded at 1.22 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 1.25 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was found in the contour farming area (CS1). This indicates that bulk density increases with depth, which is consistent with the decrease in organic carbon levels [8].

The maximum particle density, recorded at 2.56 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 2.60 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was found in the sloppy area (SS2) while the minimum particle density, measured at 2.42 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 2.46 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was observed in the contour farming area (CS1). The higher particle density values suggesting a denser soil composition may vary within these regions, possibly due to differences in erosion patterns or organic matter content [8].

The maximum pore space, measured at 46.68% for the 0-15 cm depth and 45.38% for the 15-30 cm depth, was found in the contour farming area (CS2) while the minimum pore space, recorded at 41.75% for the 0-15 cm depth and 40.84% for the 15-30 cm depth, was observed in the plain area (PS6) and the sloppy area (SS2), respectively. Pore space decreases with increasing depth due to greater compaction in the subsurface. Surface soils have significantly more macro and micro pores compared to subsurface soils, primarily due to the higher organic matter content at the surface [18].

The maximum water holding capacity, measured at 45.53% for the 0-15 cm depth and 44.27% for the 15-30 cm depth, was found in the contour farming area (CS5) while the minimum water holding capacity, recorded at 39.42% for the 0-15 cm depth and 37.53% for the 15-30 cm depth, was observed in the sloppy area (SS1). Water holding capacity decreases with depth due to soil compaction and reduced pore space, impacting moisture retention [18].

**Table. 4.** Soil pH, electrical conductivity (dS m<sup>-1</sup>), organic carbon (%) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm depths of different villages of Solan district, Himachal Pradesh.

Area	Selected villages	pH		Electrical conductivity (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )		Organic carbon (%)	
		0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm
<b>Contour farming</b>	CS <sub>1</sub>	6.63	6.65	0.33	0.31	0.50	0.48
	CS <sub>2</sub>	6.62	6.67	0.30	0.28	0.52	0.50
	CS <sub>3</sub>	6.61	6.64	0.31	0.29	0.54	0.52
	CS <sub>4</sub>	6.63	6.66	0.33	0.31	0.48	0.46
	CS <sub>5</sub>	6.61	6.64	0.31	0.29	0.47	0.45
	CS <sub>6</sub>	6.64	6.68	0.32	0.30	0.49	0.47
	CS <sub>7</sub>	6.63	6.67	0.34	0.32	0.41	0.39
<b>Sloppy area</b>	SS <sub>1</sub>	7.08	7.12	0.29	0.26	0.43	0.41
	SS <sub>2</sub>	6.96	6.99	0.24	0.21	0.42	0.40
	SS <sub>3</sub>	6.85	6.89	0.25	0.22	0.36	0.34
	SS <sub>4</sub>	6.73	6.77	0.26	0.23	0.37	0.35
	SS <sub>5</sub>	6.75	6.78	0.25	0.22	0.38	0.36
	SS <sub>6</sub>	6.82	6.86	0.28	0.25	0.40	0.38
	SS <sub>7</sub>	6.62	6.65	0.24	0.21	0.46	0.44
<b>Plain area</b>	PS <sub>1</sub>	7.43	7.48	0.21	0.18	0.35	0.32
	PS <sub>2</sub>	7.42	7.46	0.22	0.19	0.32	0.29
	PS <sub>3</sub>	7.45	7.48	0.23	0.20	0.33	0.31
	PS <sub>4</sub>	7.42	7.46	0.24	0.21	0.36	0.33
	PS <sub>5</sub>	7.45	7.47	0.26	0.23	0.33	0.30
	PS <sub>6</sub>	7.42	7.45	0.25	0.22	0.30	0.27
	PS <sub>7</sub>	7.43	7.47	0.28	0.25	0.34	0.31
<b>F test</b>		NS	S	S	S	S	S
<b>S. EM (±)</b>		0.216	0.014	0.009	0.007	0.020	0.006
<b>C.D. @ 5%</b>		NS	0.041	0.027	0.021	0.058	0.018

The maximum soil pH, measured at 7.45 for the 0-15 cm depth and 7.48 for the 15-30 cm depth, was found in the plain areas (PS3, PS5 and PS1, PS3) while the minimum soil pH, recorded at 6.61 for the 0-15 cm depth and 6.64 for the 15-30 cm depth, was observed in the

contour farming areas (CS3, CS5 and CS1, CS3). The increase in soil pH with depth is primarily attributed to the accumulation and decomposition of organic matter, which releases alkaline compounds such as carbonates and bicarbonates. This phenomenon is further influenced by microbial activity, which converts organic materials into humic substances that can raise soil pH over time [2].

The maximum electrical conductivity of soil, measured at 0.34 dS m<sup>-1</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 0.32 dS m<sup>-1</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was found in the contour farming area (CS7) while the minimum electrical conductivity, recorded at 0.21 dS m<sup>-1</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 0.18 dS m<sup>-1</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was observed in the plain area (PS1). Plain regions generally support most crops, but vegetable-based systems in contour farming areas exhibit higher salt accumulation compared to cereal-based systems in the plain [16] [8].

The maximum organic carbon in soil, measured at 0.54% for the 0-15 cm depth and 0.52% for the 15-30 cm depth, was found in the contour farming area (CS3) while the minimum organic carbon, recorded at 0.32% for the 0-15 cm depth and 0.29% for the 15-30 cm depth, was observed in the plain area (PS2). Vegetable-based cropping systems in contour farming areas typically have higher organic carbon due to practices like incorporating farmyard manure and organic residues, enhancing decomposition and enriching soil organic carbon levels in surface layers [6].

**Table 5.** Available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in soil (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm depths of different villages of Solan district, Himachal Pradesh.

Area	Selected villages	Available nitrogen (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Available phosphorus (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Available potassium (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
		0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm
Contour farming	CS <sub>1</sub>	279.27	268.18	64.54	60.35	365.34	353.56
	CS <sub>2</sub>	278.86	268.94	60.19	56.12	364.45	350.36
	CS <sub>3</sub>	270.04	261.63	61.83	56.91	356.45	342.05
	CS <sub>4</sub>	277.32	268.04	63.23	59.01	362.76	349.12
	CS <sub>5</sub>	265.38	255.37	62.32	58.23	358.64	345.68
	CS <sub>6</sub>	276.32	264.87	64.38	59.49	363.44	352.36
	CS <sub>7</sub>	275.13	266.95	59.97	55.82	360.25	344.52

<b>Sloppy area</b>	<b>SS<sub>1</sub></b>	262.59	249.61	50.17	45.25	325.96	314.36
	<b>SS<sub>2</sub></b>	253.96	241.28	46.83	42.65	311.88	304.04
	<b>SS<sub>3</sub></b>	256.62	249.06	48.25	43.33	334.25	325.52
	<b>SS<sub>4</sub></b>	262.24	250.23	52.81	48.36	326.16	312.64
	<b>SS<sub>5</sub></b>	258.09	247.14	51.36	47.22	314.08	303.58
	<b>SS<sub>6</sub></b>	264.96	255.87	56.55	52.47	327.79	314.61
	<b>SS<sub>7</sub></b>	279.64	269.68	58.26	53.89	349.14	335.23
<b>Plain area</b>	<b>PS<sub>1</sub></b>	247.36	238.82	45.37	42.16	289.12	281.08
	<b>PS<sub>2</sub></b>	242.59	232.68	40.26	36.64	276.04	265.62
	<b>PS<sub>3</sub></b>	250.40	239.76	42.84	39.31	282.92	263.61
	<b>PS<sub>4</sub></b>	246.94	236.17	41.56	37.65	283.52	275.44
	<b>PS<sub>5</sub></b>	248.27	235.54	40.25	37.23	271.36	262.14
	<b>PS<sub>6</sub></b>	252.35	244.12	47.65	44.08	309.18	298.63
	<b>PS<sub>7</sub></b>	249.80	237.30	46.65	43.39	297.52	286.72
<b>F test</b>		S	S	S	S	S	S
<b>S. EM (±)</b>		0.817	1.009	0.800	0.888	0.925	1.294
<b>C.D. @ 5%</b>		2.332	2.880	2.284	2.536	2.639	3.692

The maximum available nitrogen in soil, measured at 279.64 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 269.68 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was found in the sloppy area (SS7) while the minimum available nitrogen, recorded at 242.59 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 232.68 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was observed in the plain area (PS2). Available nitrogen levels decrease with increasing profile depth, likely due to the reduction in organic matter content [10].

The maximum available phosphorus in soil, measured at 64.54 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 60.35 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was found in the contour farming area (CS1), while the minimum, recorded at 40.25 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 37.23 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was observed in the plain area (PS5). Higher available phosphorus levels in surface soil are often due to favourable soil pH and high organic matter content, particularly in higher topographic positions [2].

The maximum available potassium in soil, measured at 365.34 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 353.56 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was also found in the contour farming area

(CS1) while the minimum available potassium, recorded at 271.36 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 0-15 cm depth and 262.14 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 15-30 cm depth, was observed in the plain area (PS5). Surface soil shows high available potassium levels due to organic residue breakdown and potassium fertilizer application, while subsurface soil has decreased availability [2].

## **5. CONCLUSION**

The study highlights significant soil property variations across contour farming, sloppy areas, and plains in Solan district, Himachal Pradesh. Contour and sloppy areas feature sandy loam textures with high sand content, while plains exhibit sandy clay loam textures with more clay. Bulk and particle densities increase with depth, indicating soil compaction. Contour farming areas excel in pore space and water holding capacity, while plains show higher pH and lower electrical conductivity. Organic carbon is most abundant in contour farming areas, and nutrient levels-nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium are generally higher there. These findings emphasize the need for tailored soil management strategies across diverse terrains.

### **Study Justification**

Assessing soil properties in areas like contour farming, plains, and slopes evaluates fertility, productivity, and health, enabling accurate nutrient estimation and application for increased yield and sustainable farming. Soil health cards ensure proper fertilizer or manure use, preventing land degradation. This research provides crucial information for effective soil management, enhancing productivity, and promoting sustainable farming in the Solan district. Understanding unique soil qualities across terrains supports customized approaches benefiting the environment and agriculture.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Ram Bharose for invaluable guidance and support throughout the research, and extends thanks to Mudit Tripathi for encouragement and collaborative contributions. The Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry at Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Prayagraj, is acknowledged for providing essential support and equipment. These acknowledgments underscore their significant roles in the successful completion of the research.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

## **6. REFERENCES**

1. Bouyoucos, G. J. The Hydrometer as a New Method for the Mechanical Analysis of Soils. *Soil science*. 1927; 23(5):343-354.
2. Devi, M., Chauhan, J. K., Shukla, A., Sharma, A., Kumari, Y. (2023). Assessment of Soil Fertility Status of Different Villages of Solan District of Himachal Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2023;35(20):897-903.
3. Eni, D. D., Iwara, A. I., Offiong, R. A. (2012). Analysis of soil-vegetation interrelationships in a south-southern secondary forest of Nigeria. *International Journal of Forestry Research*. 2012; 2012; 8.
4. Fisher, R. Statistical Methods and Scientific Induction. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*. 1955;17(1):69-78.
5. Jackson, M. (1958). *Soil chemical analysis* prentice Hall. Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ. 1958; 498:183-204.
6. Kakar, R., Tripathi, D., Chandel, S., Sultanpuri, A. Distribution of Micronutrient Cations in Relation to Soil Properties in Saproon Valley of Solan district in North Western Himalayas. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 2018;20(2):143-147.

7. Kumar, A., Kumar, S., and Prakash, V. (2022). Effects of varied cropping systems on vertical distribution of soil properties under an alluvial Soil in Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2022;70(3):313-318.
8. Kumar, A., Sharma, R., Sepehya, S., Thakur, S. Assessment of Block-wise Status of Micro Nutrients in Some Soils of Shivalik Hills of Himachal Pradesh. *Environment Conservation Journal*. 2023;24(2):170-175.
9. Kumar, D., Gp, U., Dutt, A., Kg, B. Assessment of soil chemical Properties under different land uses in Barog-Dhillon watershed in Solan district of Himachal Pradesh. *The Pharma Innovation*. 2017;6(7):33-36.
10. Kumar, R., Paliyal S S. Vertical Distribution of Available Macronutrients in Relation to Physico-chemical Properties Under Different Land Uses of Cold Arid Soils of Spiti valley in Himachal Pradesh. *The Ecoscan*. 2016;10(3&4):579-584.
11. Kusro, P. S., Kumar, N., Tedia, K., Naitam, R. K., Bajpai, R. K., Gritlahare, A. (2022). Soil characterization of Kanker district: A Transect study along a topo sequence. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2022;70(3):296-305.
12. Muthuvel, K. Some Results Concerning Hamel Bases. *Real Analysis Exchange*. 1992;18(2):571-574.
13. Naqvi, H. R., Athick, A. M. A., Ganaie, H. A., Siddiqui, M. A. Soil erosion planning using sediment yield index method in the Nun Nadi watershed, India. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*. 2015; 3(2):86-96.
14. Ollinger, S. V., Smith, M. L., Martin, M. E., Hallett, R. A., Goodale, C. L., Aber, J. D. (2002). Regional variation in foliar chemistry and N cycling among forests of diverse history and composition. *Ecology Ecological Society of America*. 2002;83(2):339-355.
15. Olsen S R, Cole C V, Watanable F S., Dean L A. Estimation of Available Phosphorous in Soils by Extraction with Sodium Bicarbonate. *United States Department of Agriculture*. 1954; 939:1-19.
16. Singh, A. K., Thomas, T., Swaroop, N., Kumar, T. Evaluation of Physico-chemical Properties of Soil from Different Blocks of Kaimur District, Bihar, India. In *Biological Forum—An International Journal*. 2021;13(3):543-549.
17. Subbiah, B. V., Asija, G. L. A Rapid Procedure for the Estimation of Available Nitrogen in Soils. *Current Science*. 1965;25(8):259-260.
18. Tiwari, A., Thomas, T., Singh, A. K., Rai, A. K., Mohanty, S. R., Prajapati, P. Assessment of Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil from Different Villages of

Kushinagar District, Uttar Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*. 2023;35(18):1312-1320.

19. Toth, S. J., Prince, A. L. Estimation of Cation-Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Ca, K, and Na Contents of Soils by Flame Photometer Techniques. *Soil Science*. 1949;67(6):439-446.
20. Walkley, A. A Critical Examination of a Rapid Method for Determining Organic Carbon in Soils-effect of Variations in Digestion Conditions and of Inorganic Soil Constituents. *Soil Science*. 1947;63(4):251-264.
21. Weil, R.R., and Brady, N.C. (2017). *The nature and properties of soils*, 14th edition. Pearson Education Ltd, Harlow, Essex. 2017; 7: 750.
22. Wilcox, L. V. Electrical Conductivity. *Journal-American Water Works Association*. 1950;42(8):775-776.