

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_120415</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECT OF FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MORINGA (<i>Moringa oleifera</i>) LEAF EXTRACT AND POTASSIUM ON YELID AND ECONOMICS OF BLACKGARM</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The choice of topic is highly essential for scientific community. Black gram serves as an important crop in pulses and improving its yield would be highly beneficial. Hence recommendations for such enhancing yields are necessary.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, satisfactory.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsections are appropriate. However, a few suggestions are added in the manuscript (in the attached mail)	
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The article is scientifically correct. Since the author has presented the initial characters of the foliar spray of Moringa also they have added the effect of treatments on the yield performances. However, addition of few points on the discussion part is needed, for substantiating the correctness of the data obtained.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> =	References added in the text need to be improved. More recent references may be added.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author may add a few photos of preparation of the foliar spray of moringa and also the overall field view along with depiction of treatment differences to support the scientific correctness of the manuscript.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Elakiya N</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>TNAU, India</b>